THE PRACTICAL HELPFULNESS OF DISP.

Intro. From charges wouldn't think any help.

Modernish, p.11. Heresy, 11. Guilt-by assoc, 12. Ridicule
Barby, 12. Diviciveness, 12. Not intellectual, 13. Recent, 13.

Doctrinally imcompotent, 14. Can such a system be of any
help to anyone. Shouldn't we discard it entirely?

- I. No, bec it provides solution to apparent contradictns.
- 1. Lev 1:4; 4:31; 5:6; Heb 10:4. Offering
- 2. Matt. 5:20; Acts 16:31. Way of sal.
- 3. 10 comm vs 2 Cor 3:7,11.
- 4. Matt 10:5-7, cf 28:18-20
- 5. Or interp of Rev 7 (p.20), Rom 11:26. Jn 1:17

 How do you solve these? 6. Lk \$9:3; 22:36.

 Either (1) contradict and choose subjectively and quietly ignore prob (3) spiritualise (4) recognise diff economies.
- II. No, bec it gives proper understanding of scope of history.
- 1. If disp can recognise several purposes of God in hist.
 - a. Angels and men.
 - b. Father and Son.
 - c. Is and church Earthly Mill. Don't spir.covnts.
 - d. Several distinguishable groups of redeemed. Heb 12.
- 2. If disp recognise that history has glorious climax on earth in time. Not in eternity but in time in M.

III. No, bec is provides consistently literal interp.

Take Sermon on Mt as example. Everybody has prob.

Can misapply and say it is sal by good works.

Can principalize and have no place for plain fulfillmt.

Can see fulfillmt in preparatn and estab of Kg and apply to this age too. e.g. Mt 5:40-42.

IV. No, bec it actually places the glory of God at eenter. Cov theol in practice places sal and at center and it is most impt but God will be glorified in heathen, angels, etc.

V. It will keep you conservative. I don't know a disp who is tinged with liberalism at all. But note what is happening to many conservatives who have begun to allegoris and doubt plain accuracy of Bible.

Concl. Is this something to be afraid of? Worth studying, using, knowing.