

Rudolf Bultmann

Intro - B. Era 1945-60 The influence continues.

I. The Person

A. Early life. b. 1884. Son of evang. lutheran minister.

Majored in Gph & Qu. lit. from ages 11-19. Tübingen. Berlin under Grisebach & Harnack. Marburg. (Weizsäcker, Hermann).

B. Professional life. Taught at Marburg 1912-16. Breslau 1916-20. Gleeson 1920. Marburg 21-51. Prof of NT, & early Ch Hist.

Structure of that of his writings changed little over 50 yrs.

All of the ghosts of 1913 C. German errors are in B.

II. The Prodromos

NT. Bibl. Theologian. Hermeneutics his primary contrib.

NT. Theol. NT. Commentaries on Jn 1 & 12, Jn. Prophethood Xanth.

Formgeschichte (Hist of Synoptic Trad.) Mk 10:13-16 in Hist Syn. Trad.

Best known for denying Theology. (JL. & My Theology).

1. Jesus saw the kingdom as eschatology & soon to come.

2. Hope of Jesus not fulfilled

3. NT. This conception was anthropological. e.g. 3 strand universe, angels, miracles, supernatural.

4. NT. Paul is work of 1stC. community after it was infiltrated with Gnostic ideas.

4. Alternatives: accept NT "myths" or retain others of texts & abandon the Theology i.e. general truths. or demythologize i.e. interpret myths.

5. P. & particularly John began to demyth. Demyth. is a NT. doctrine. Jn 3:19; 12:31. 2 Ths 2:7 cf 1 Jn 4:1-3.

6. Demyth. separates the humans from pre-scientific language. Prof. of Heidegger. We need encounter with humans.

Then we pass from inauthentic to authentic existence. Truth to be found has to have entered into me.

7. NT. not totally incredible. Throw away 1stC. envelope & retain contents (humans)

III. The Problem B is Schleiermacher with material - Parker. Feeling garnished with demyth.

A. The Part of Mythology.

1. What are criteria for determining myth. Myth not defined.
 2. Shall the Bible be subjected to a prob. (examination)
- That is extraneous to it. Loss sight of use for all uses of the Cross too. X painful when I realize it.
3. Anti-supernatural. Cross took place but not much else.
 4. also hist. was long battle against myths of Canaanite.

Language of OT. demyth. The myths of Canaanite rel.

B. Part of Faith & Hist.

Event of Resurrection are geschichte (story as you would tell kids)
History is history of record like historians write. Geschichte is
dynamic, event that happens now to me. Can you have
faith without history? No. B's Resurrection is not 1 Cor 15: 3-4

C. Part of Jc. B says he was a Jewish prophet who
lived, propheted, died, not historical J. not relevant.
Easter event is relevant. Why not demyth the Resurrection?
Why isn't the event of Y myth. Tap # implies an intervention
into time. Nothing can both be & not be. B is irrational.
B. says God can't intervene yet can in my life by
existential decision of faith. Barth said Barth got
Bult. didn't draw logical conseq. & demyth God. But
Robinson did & God died.