

Smedes in Harper Custom Mills. Smedes is mediating like Bush. But Ref. & liberal <sup>Ref.</sup> This would not suit that he is Reformed in historical sense. Smedes is Dutch Ref.

Also James Daane is liberal Ref. They feel that 16 + 17<sup>th</sup> C. made Calvin too rigid. They trying to get back to Calvin. Dort. Princeton <sup>Worrell, Birkhoff</sup> misinterpreted Calvin. Westminster, Van Til stand in Warfield tradition. Has written against Daane. Jack Brooks Roger at Fuller = Daane. Does not believe in inerrancy & strong Calvinism.

I life. b. 1903. Free U. Kuypers, Bevinck, Hep. Berhouwer. Pastn fr 18 yrs. Prof. 28 yrs. & retired 1973. Succeeded by Beenhof.

## II. The Theology

A. The Grounding Principle. Thed. should be an expression of faith with a view to preaching. System not the imp. thing but Thed. is an interpretation of word. Thed. is relative.

B. Rel. to the Confession. B. says - What were the framers of the Conf. trying to say. This is how we can modify the Thed. of the Conf. Presbyterian doing same re - Westminster Conf.

C. The Content. Ref. New Thed.

1. Providence - Not prod. of godly ordination. God not ultimate cause of all things. Pwr. is a confession on part of X. in Barth's existentialism. Barth has been inf. by Barth.

2. Election. = grace in X. + B's in X. but not = election flowing out of decree of God.

3. Xthology. Barth. but here. <sup>Vst. my</sup> Person of X. No entwin-

4. Man in God's Image. Barth concentrates on work of man so discusses on traducianism, Sodality, meaningless today. But Barth speaks of them, so relevant. People will ask about origin of soul whether Barth. says irrelevant or not.

SLT Traducianist. <sup>(aberrant)</sup> Neither realism nor federalism <sup>(imputed)</sup> ~~Federalism~~ <sup>de. repres.</sup> explain imputation. ~~Both~~ leaves us with "alien sin" <sup>bottom</sup> i.e. a sin not from ourselves. In realism we are regarded to have sinned ourselves. But realism doesn't escape alien sin b/c. we did sin before we existed!

Barth. has no solution except to emphasize 517-IV

"cooperative aspect." Barth. compromises all the time.

e.g. 4. Scripture, election, sin. See Van Til: The

5. Scripture sovereignty of grace. Barth. has been moving in

doctrines of Script - did Barth. in inerrancy - Then to salvation content of Script - Then to existential

direction of Script. Barth. bel. Script must be

opened door to critics of Script. & some have gone

Then that don. Ed Palmer studied under Barth.

Says Barth. had abandoned inerrancy.

### III. Criticism

A. Compromise - Above on Script.

B. Change. Away from Calvinistic, creedal Paul.

C. Confusion. Providence. Too much abstracting into "mystery." Some of Barth's Theology is a real cop-out.

4. Criticism of Standard Calvinism & sometimes misrepresents viewpoints. e.g. says Wmfield makes Script so innocent that it isn't vital + living. "He tends to banish everything & to settle nothing" - Samuel Johnson.

True of Barth.