FX50 K38

RESERVE LIST-SEMINAR IN BIBLICAL THEOLOGY 454

DR. RYRIE

Adeney

Bernard

Beyschlag

Cook

Moffatt

Ridderbos

Ryrie

.

Schmid

Stauffer

Stevenson

Stevenson

Stewart

Van Oosterzee

Weidner

Weiss

Welch

ADDITIONAL BOOKS:

Davidson

Jacob.

Kantzer/Gundry

Kummel

.

Kennedy

Theology of the New Testament (2 cop.)	FX AD3
Progress of Doctrine in the New Testament (2 cop.)	FX B45
New Testament Theology (v.l1 cop.) (v.21 cop.)	FX B46N
The Theology of John (1 cop.)	FX70 С773T
Theology of the Gospels (1 cop.)	FX10 M72
Paul: an outline of his theology (2 cop.)	FX60 R431P
Biblical Theology of the New Testament (cop.)	FX R99B
Biblical Theology of the New Testament (1 cop.)	FX Sch5
New Testament Theology (1 cop.)	FX St2N
Theology of the New Testament (2 cop.)	FX St4
Johannine Theology (1 cop.)	FX70 St4
A Man in Christ (2 cop.)	FX60 St4MA
Theology of the New Testament (2 cop.)	FX V34
Biblical Theology of the New (v.12 cop.) (v.21 cop.)	FX W42
Biblical Theology of the New Testament (v.12 cop.) (v.22 cop.)	FX W43
An outline of the theology of Jesus Christ (l cop.)	FX 10 W444
Theology of the Old Testament (O cop.)	DX D28
Theology of the Old Testament (O cop.)	DX J15T
Perspectives on Evangelical Theology (l cop.)	RK55 K139P
Theology of the New Testament (O cop.)	FX К96 Т

Theology of the Epistles (1 cop.)

Spring 82

Reserve list--Seminar in Biblical Theology 454--p. 2 McDonald Living Doctrines of the New Testament FX M145L (1 cop.) : Lehman Biblical Theology (0 cop.) DW30 L528B v.1,2 (v.1--0 cop.) (v.2--0 cop.) **Oehler** Old Testament Theology (0 cop.) DX 0e5T 1883 Payne Theology of the Older Testament DX P29A (1 cop.) Schultz Old Testament Theology (v.1-1 cop.) DX Sch8 v.1,2 (v.2--1 cop.) Stevens The Pauline Theology (0 cop.) FX60 ST4 Vos Biblical Theology (1 cop.) DW30 V92

IV. The Theological Method of Biblical Theology.

In Sys theol the method is to systematize all truth on a given subject. Bibl theol systematize truth of one man or one period. Vos says it should be an historic progression--by periods and yet admits that such an approach does not do justice to the pecularities of the agents of revelation. Prob best that in earlier periods we do it by periods (as Pent) and later when truth becomes more detailed to do it by men (as I). Whether by period of man you have another choice in method--treat either the period or man acc to the regular divisions of sys theol or acc to the outstanding areas and lines of his thinking or the particular distinctivenesses of rev during that period. If LSC apostle of grace is main thing in his mind. Don't find much on visible church. If Peter--look for the effect of his close relation to Christ's life. If Heb how does address of book affect it? If Pent. what things esp were revealed that early. Systematize and present under categories but never force the man into all 3. when thru will have lots of facts under various categories, but want you also to remember outstanding things of that period or man.

V. The Origin of the Study of Biblical Theology.

Prob Reformation fave initial impetus bec there the deviation bet doctrine of the Church and doctrine of Bible clearly brot out. Ref demanded removation of theol in accordance with Script. Attempts were made to arrange in an independent manner the results of grammatical-historical study alongside the dogmatice of the Church either to its support or correction. Spencer--1635-1705. Bengel--1687-1751. Pietism.

John Philip Gabler--De justo discrimine theologiae biblicae et gogmaticae ,1789. This work first emphasized the historical character of Bib Theol. George L. Bauer, 1755-1806.

will de wette, 1813.

L.J.Rueckert, 1825.

"ugustus Neander, 1789-1850 in his History of the Planting and Fraining of the Christian Church b. the Apostles. This represented the teaching of the Apostles separately.

```
C F Schmid, 1794-1852. Bib Theol of the NT
```

Bernhard weiss.

Oehler, Davidson, Vos.

VI. Results of the study of Biblical Theology.

- 1. The parts new freshness into the truth by showing it in its original historic setting. Not just repetition of dogmas of Sys Theol under an individual or period.
- 2. Safeguard against ultradispensationalism. Bec you are made to see the true development of doctrine as in Acts, and bec you are made to see similarities bet writers.
- 2. 3. Bib Theol relieves to some extent the unfortunate situation that certain fundamental doctrines seem to depend on the testimony of isolated prooftexts. Vos. Bec you see theol substructure. James doesn't speak of insipration yet see belief in it in very firm way in his epistle.

- 4. Safeguard against isolating doctrine from mistorical context. Toodften fundamentalists isolate and don't see doctrine in its mistorical context. Acts 2:38 and LSC's interpretation. Note student who said couldn't find sin question mentioned in sermons in Acts, but if understand historical context of menaing of repent of course it is there.
- 5. Gives appreciation of man.
- 3, 6. Gives balance to doctrine of inspiration bec of 5. Too often we only look at words and results and forget the human instrument. Necessary to look behind words and see individuality, personal interests, literary style of the man. This true when unit is a man and not a period.
- 7. Gives appreciation of age of grace. This true when unit of study is a period and not a man. When see what was revealed in other ages see what we have today. And be thankful and humble.