

THE CONTEMPORARY THEOLOGICAL SCENE

I. Started with Karl Barth, 1886-

A. Word of God=X. Script partly true, witness.

B. Need 2 kinds of history.

C. Doct of X should be impt. Death is rev. Res maybe
All elect in Him=universalism.

D. Tests--(1) Gen 1-3 factual hist?(2) errors in Bible?

E. Helps. (1) Rom 5 (2) 1 Cor 2:13; 2 Pet 3:16; Matt 5.

(3) X's view of Bible, Matt 4, Jn 10, Matt 5. Matt 22

II. Tillich. Tried to interpret theol to modern age.

A. Start with man. I exist. Existence. Look out there
and see essence or being. God is ground of.

B. Rev is human activity. JC is essential being under
condition of exis. conquering gap bet ess and ex. New

C. Sal istransferrence into new being. Theol nonsense.
No lit Bible, no trans God, no God-man Savior.

III. Bultmann also anthropological but exegetical.

A. Demyth to solve tension bet NT and science.

The facts to X's life, impt is ges. Face men with
existential decision re kerugma.

IV. Process Theol.

Prepared for by Robinson, God is Dead but too far.

Now in swing back. Theol it's process; exeg it's
Pannenberg.

A. Process grew out of evol. God is evolving,
loving, suffering, becoming, dynamic. Includes and
penetrates universe. Unsurpassed by any being but can
surpass Himself as He develops. But God also static,
eternal, omnis. Love neighbor I love God bec neighbor
is part of God. X was goo man and did good job of
affecting God.

V. Pannenberg. More historical. More theistic

But no infallible Bible.

Concl. All are concerned with rel bet faith (existential,
and fact (objective authority). Only when faith is
governed by authority of inerrant Bible do you have answw
Otherwise, only have man's answer.