THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES

Dr. Charles C. Ryrie

Author: Dr. Luke.

Date and place of writing: The book was written from Rome about A.D. 63.

Importance: (L) Historically, it is the only source for the first century.

(2) Doctrinally, it contains the seeds of doctrines later

developed in the epistles.

(3) Practically, it shows what men can do in the power of a risen Savior; it furnishes the principles of revival and missionary work; it gives the divine pattern for church government; by contrast it should make us concerned for the state of the church in the 20th century.

CHRONOLOGY OF THE BOOK

Date	Event	Chapters
30	Death and resurrection of Christ	1
30	Pentecost	2
30-34	Witnessing in Jerusalem	3-8
35	Conversion of the Apostle Paul	9
35-37	Paul in Damascus, Arabia, and back to Damascus	9 9
37-43	Paul in Tarsus	9
41	Peter preaches to Gentiles at Caesarea	10-11
43	Paul and Barnabas at Antioch	11
7171	Death of James and Herod; imprisonment of Peter	1.2
46-48	First Missionary Journey	13-14
49	Church Council at Jerusalem	15
50-54	Second Missionary Journey	16-18
52-53	Writing of I and II Thessalonians from Corinth	
54-58	Third Missionary Journey	18-21
56	Writing of Galatians from Ephesus	
56	Writing of I Corinthians from Ephesus	
57	Writing of JI Corinthians from Ephesus	
58	Writing of Romans from Corinth	
58	Journey to and arrest at Jerusalem	21-23
58-60	Paul in prison at Caesarea	24-26
60-63	Paul sent to Rome and imprisoned for 2 years	27-28
62	Writing of Ephesians from Roman prison	21-20
62	Writing of Colossians from Roman prison	
62	Writing of Philemon from Roman prison	
63	Writing of Philippians from Roman prison	
	O	

OUTLINE OF THE BOOK

THE RISEN LORD, 1:1-26

- I. The Risen Lord Correcting, 1: 1-7.
 - A. Correction concerning service, 4-5.
 - B. Correction concerning seasons, 6-7.
- The Risen Lord Commissioning, 1:8-11.

 - A. The Nature of the Commission, 8. Its Delivery.
 B. The Necessity for the Commission, 9-11. Its Duration.
- III. The Risen Lord Choosing, 1:12-26.
 - A. The Necessity for the Choosing, 12-20.
 - B. The Nature of the Choosing, 21-26.

PENTECOST -- BIRTHDAY OF THE CHURCH, 2:1-47

- The Prophecy of Pentecost, Lev. 23:15-21.
- The Power of Pentecost, 2:1-13.
 - A. The Evidence of the Spirit's Coming, 2:1-4.
 - B. The Effects of the Spirit's Coming, 2:5-13.
- The Preaching of Pentecost, 2:14-47.
 - A. The Sermon, 2:14-36. Jesus is Messiah.
 - B. The Results, 2:37-47.
 - 1. Conviction, 37. 2. Change, 38-41. 3. Church, 42-47.

THE HEALING OF THE LAME MAN, 3:1-26

- I. The Miracle, 3:1-10.
 - A. Its Circumstances, 3:1-3.
 - B. Its Characteristics, 3:4-7.
 - C. Its Consequences, 3:8-11.
- The Message, 3:12-26. II. Jesus, whom you killed, is Messiah.

THE FIRST PERSECUTION, 4:1-37

- I. Persecution, 4:1-22.
 - A. The Prison, 4:1-4.
 - B. The Preaching, 4:5-12. 92 words.
 - C. The Punishment, 4:13-22.
- II. Power, 4:23-37.
 - A. Because of the right use of Prayer, 4:23-31. The prayer was not for filling but for boldness.
 - B. Because of the right use of the Purse, 4:32-37.

PURITY, PURGING, AND PERSECUTION, 5: 1-42

- I. Purity, 5: 1-11.
 - A. Purity proved by the preaching and practice of the early church, 2:24; 3:12-16, 20; 2:42.
 - B. Purity preserved by purging Ananias and Sapphira, 5:1-11.
- II. Persecution (the second), 5:12-42.
 - A. The Prelude to it, 5:12-16.
 - B. The Prison experience, 5:17-21a.
 - C. The Preaching after release, 5:21b-39.
 - D. The Punishment, 5:40-42.

WORKERS TOGETHER WITH GOD, 6:1-7

- I. The Need for additional workers, 6:1-2, 4.
- II. The Norm required to be a worker, 6:3.

 The qualifications included these: of the male sex, Christian, reputable, spiritual, and wise.
- III. The Nature of the work, 6:5-71

THE FIRST MARTYR, 6:8-8: la

- I. The Stirring of the People in the Synagogue, 6:8-7:1.
- II. The Sermon of Stephen, 7:2-53. Text: "as your fathers did, so do ye" (v. 51).
- III. The Stoning of Stephen aided by Saul, 7:54-8: la.

ENFORCED EXPANSION, 8:1-40

- I. The Scene in Jerusalem, 8:1-4.
- II. The Scene in Samaria, 8:5-25.
 The action centers around the activities of Philip, Simon Magus, Peter and John.
- III. The Scene on the Road to Gaza, 8:26-40.
 - A. Freedom of the Spirit.
 - B. Fellowship with the Spirit.
 - C. Faithfulness to the Spirit.
 - D. Fearlessness in the Spirit.

THE CONVERSION OF PAUL, 9:1-31

- I. The Features of the Conversion, 9:1-9.
 - A. Conviction, 1-5.
 - B. Conversion, 5.
 - C. Committal, 6.
 - D. Commission, 6-9.
- II. The Facts of the Chronology, 9:10-31.
 - A. Damascus, 10-22.
 - B. Arabia, Gal. 1:17.
 - C: Damascus, 23-25.
 - D. Jerusalem, 26-29.
 - E. Tarsus, 30-31.

THE CONVERSION OF THE GENTILES, 9:32-11:18

- I. The Preparation of the Messenger, 9:32-10:22.
 - A. Peter the Leader, 9:32-43.
 - B. Peter the Learner, 10:1-22.
- II. The Preaching of the Message, 10:23-11:18.
 - A. The crux of the message, 34.
 - B. The consequences of the message, 10:44-11:18.
 - 1. Some were saved, 10:44-48.
 - 2. Some were skeptical, 11:1-18.

THE CHURCH AT ANTIOCH, 11:19-30

- I. The Founding of the work, 19-21.
- II. The Furthering of the work, 22-26.
 Barnabas-exhortation; Paul--teaching.
- III. The Functioning of the work, 27-30.

THE FIFTH PERSECUTION, 12:1-25.

- I. The devilment of Herod, 1.
 Previous persecutions were by (1)elders (rulers, scribes (chpt.4);
 (2) Sadducees (chpt. 5); (3) Libertines against Stephen (chpt. 6);
 (4) Saul (chpt. 8). Herod Agrippa I of this chapter is the grandson of Herod the Great of Luke 2:1.
- II. The Death of James, 2.
- III. The Deliverance of Peter, 3-19.
- IV. The Destruction of Herod, 20-23.
 - V. The Dissemination of the Word, 24-25.

5

THE FIRST MISSIONARY JOURNEY, 13:1-14:28

- I. Events in Antioch, 13:1-4.
- II. Events in Cyprus, 13:5-13.
 - A. Salamis, 5.

2 1 4 5

- B. Paphos, 6-12.
- III. Events in Galatia, 6:13-14:20.
 - A. Perga, 13. John Mark leaves.
 - B. Antioch in Pisidia, 14-52.
 - C. Iconium, 14:1-5.
 - D. Derbe and Lystra, 6-20. Paul stoned at Lystra. Lystra home of Timothy.
- IV. Events on the Return, 14:21-28.
 Work of confirmation and ordination.

THE FIRST CHURCH COUNCIL, 15:1-35

- I. The Dissension, 1-6.
- II. The Discussion, 7-18.
 - A. In private, 4, Gal. 2:2.
 - B. In public, 5-18.
 - 1. By Peter who opened the door of salvation to Gentiles.
 - 2. By Paul and Barnabas who did missionary work among Gentiles.
 - 3. By James, the leader of the Jerusalem church who outlined the order of events in God's program as follows:
 - a. God visits Gentiles.
 - b. After this Christ returns.
 - c. Christ will set up His kingdom.
 - d. Other Gentiles will turn to the Lord during the Kingdom.
- III. The Decision, 19-35.
 - A. In the realm of salvation-faith plus nothing is necessary for salvation.
 - B. In the realm of Christian living—ask Gentile Christians to limit voluntarily their liberty to do certain things offensive to Jewish Christians.

THE SECOND MISSIONARY JOURNEY, 15:36-18:22

- I. The Journey begun. Silas. Contention. 15:36-40.
- II. The Churches Revisited. Timothy. Circumcision. 15:41-16:5.
- III. The Vision Enlarged. Man of Macedonia. Call. 16:6-11.
 - A. Need is not a sufficient basis for a call, 6-7.
 - B. Logic and common sense are not sufficient bases for a call. 8.
 - C. The direct leading of the Holy Spirit is the only sufficient basis, 9-11.
 - IV. The Campaign at Philippi, 16:12-40.
 - A. The Opening of the Campaign, 12-15.
 - B. The Opposition to the Campaign, 16-24.
 - C. The Outcome of the Campaign, 25-40.

V. The Work at Thessalonica, Berea, and Athens, 17: 1-34.

A. Thessalonica.

Brevity. 17: 1-9

B. Berea.

2113

Nobility. 17: 10-14.

C. Athens.

Idolatry. 17: 15-34.

1. Paul's sightseeing, 15-21.

2. Paul's sermon, 22-34.

a. Who the true God is, 24-29.

b. What the true God says, 30-34.

VI. The Work at Corinth, 18: 1-17.

A. The Man Paul. Cheerless. 18: 1-11.

B. The Ministry of Paul. Fearless. 18: 1-11.

C. The Magistrate Gallio. Careless. 18: 12-17.

VII. The Return Journey, 18: 18-22.

THE THIRD MISSIONARY JOURNEY, 18:23-21:17

I. Events at Ephesus, 18:23-19:41.

A. Power of the Word in Correction of false doctrine, 18:23-19:7.

B. Power of the Word in Coversion of heathen, 19:8-10.

C. Power of the Word in Conviction of the disciples, 19:11-20.

D. Power of the Word against Corruption of society, 19:21-41.

II. Events in Greece, 20:1-5.

III. Events in Asia, 20:6-33

A. Preaching to the believers at Troas, 20:6-12. Eutychus falls out window.

B. Parting with the elders of Ephesus at Miletus, 20:7-38.

1. The Character of Paul's ministry, 18-27.

2. The Charge to the Elders, 28-31.

3. The Commending to God, 32-38.

IV. Events in Palestine, 21: 1-17.

A. Warning at Tyre not to go to Jerusalem, 1-6.

B. Warning at Caesarea, 7-14.

C. Arrival at Jerusalem, 15-17.

ON TO ROME, 21:13-28:31.

I. Defection in Rome, 21:18-30.

II. Defense before the mob in the temple, 21:31-22:30.

III. Dissension in the Sanhedrin in Jerusalem, 23:1-10.

IV. Deliverance from the conspiracy of the Jews to Caesarea, 23:11-35.

V. Defense before Felix in Caesarea, 24:1-27.

VI. Defense before Festus in Caesarea, 25:1-27.

VII. Defense before Agrippa, 26:1-32,

VIII. Deportation to Rome, 27:1-28:16.

IX. Detention in Rome, 20:17-31.

BACKGROUNDS

I. THE IMPORTANCE OF THE BOOK

No one will question the historical importance of the Book of Acts. Surperior.

It is the chief source book for the historical facts concerning Christianity in the first century after Christ. But the book is also important doctrinally, for in it are the seeds of doctrines developed later in the epistles.—seeds which were now in transformed lives. The doctrine of Acts is exemplified more in life than developed in systematic statement. It is doctrine in practice.

Thus the book shows us what men can do in the power of the risen Savior.

It is the record of the continuation of those things which Jesus began to do while on earth and which He carries on as risen Head of the church (1:1).

The book furnishes us the principles for revival and missionary work; it shows the divine pattern for church government; and it exhibits not only steadfastness but expansion under persecution. It is a book for the church in any century.

II. THE AUTHOR OF THE BOOK

Dr. Luke was evidently a Greek and not a Jew, for in Colossians 4:12-14
he is separated from those who are said to be of the circumcision. His place
of birth is unknown to us, though Antioch in Syria and Philippi are often
suggested. Of necessity he would have had to receive his medical training
in one of the three universities of the day—in Alexandria, Athens, or Tarsus.

the circumstances of
We know nothing of his conversion.

Although Luke is usually remembered as a physician, we should realize that he was primarily a missionary. His written ministry in the composition of the Gospel of Luke qualifies him as such, but he also did itinerant missionary work. The Macedonian call was answered by Luke as well as Paul (16:13, 17). He was in charge of the work at Philippi for approximately six years, and later he preached in Rome (Phile 24). He was also with Paul during his second imprisonment in Rome (ZITim. 4:11).

III. THE DATE OF THE BOOK

Since the record in Acts concludes with Paul's arrival in Rome to begin his first confinement in that city, one would judge that the book was written about A. D. 63 in Rome during that first imprisonment. If it were written later it would be very difficult to explain why Luke did not mention such momentous events as the burning of Rome, the martyrdom of Paul, and the destruction of Jerusalem itself (if it were written after A.D. 70).

IV. THE AUTHORSHIP OF THE BOOK

was Briefly, the proof for the Lucan authorship of Acts is usually stated along these lines: (1) the author of Acts was clearly a companion of Paul (the "we" sections in the Acts show this); (2) by a process of elimination, that companion has to be Luke; (3) the same man who wrote the "we" sections wrote the remaindar of the book; and (4) these conclusion is substantiated by the incidence of medical terms found in Acts (cf. 1:3; 3:7 ff.; 9:18, 33; 13:11; 28:1-10).

V. THE SOURCES OF THE BOOK

Luke's statement concerning his method of research is found in Luke 1:1-4. Since his purpose in the writing of both the Gospel and the acts was historical, and since his method was so careful, we may be assured that we have an accurate account of the events. And, of course, in addition to all the hare exercised by the author, the superintending work of the Holy Spirit guarantees the accuracy of the record which we have.

In producing his history of the apostolic age, Luke used several sources.

(1) Of some of the events he was a personal eyewitness. These are the

"we" sections of the book; that is, sections in which Luke personally

particip/ated (16:9-40; 20:5--28:31). These indicate that Luke was personally

involved in the journey from Troas to Philippi (on the second missionary journey

of Paul) and from Philippi (on the third journey) to Rome, including two

years in Caesarea and two years in Rome. For all of these events he had his

personal recollections and possibly diary-type written notes.

- (2) Since Luke was with Paul during the five or xix years before the writing of Acts, Paul could have provided him with information for the record in chapters 7,9, 11:25-30, 13:1--16:8, and 17:1--20:4.
- (3) Luke also had access to other eyewitnesses in gathering his material—people like Silas, Timothy, Titus, Aristarchus, James, Philip and his daugthers (19:29; 20:4; 21:8, 18; Col. 4:10; Philem. 24).

 These sources provide authentic facts for practically all of Acts.

After gathering his facts Luke declares that he "closely traced all things accurately" (Luke 1:3), which means that he sifted the facts before he wrote and that he made accurate use in his writing of those sifted facts. The physician's diagnostic skill was applied to the sifting of the source material in thorough preparation for writing an accurate historical account. And, of course, in all this work Luke was guided by the Holy Spirit of God so that the Acts is that exact historical record correct in every detail which God wanted us to have.

MUE DIGEN LOOD 1.1

THE RISEN LORD, 1:1-26

It has often been said that the title of the Acts of the Apostles ought to be the Acts of the Risen Lord. The authority for such a change comes from the introduction to this first chapter (vv. 1-3). The former treatise (the Gospel of Luke) which Dr. Luke wrote to Theophilus (apparently a noble convert to Christianity) had recorded the things which Jesus began to do while in His body of limitation. The present treatise (Acts) continued the record of the works of Jesus, only in His resurrection body // **Api/** the him which He was seen of the apostles for forty days (v. 3). Three acts of this risen Lord are recorded in this chapter.

I. THE RISEN LORD CORRECTING, 1:4-7

A. Correction concerning Service, 4-5

B. Correction concerning Seasons, 6-7

In His conversations with His disciples during the forty day postresurrection ministry, the Lord spoke concerning the kingdom of God.az

Apparently the disciples had become greatly enthused, and so the Lord cautionsol
them to wait in Jerusalem for the coming of the Holy Spirit before they
began their service for Him. The ministry of the Spirit was not unknown
to them; indeed they had experienced it (Jn 14:17; 20:22). But the baptizing
work of the Spirit was something they had not yet experienced, for the Lord
said, "Ye shall be baptized with the Holy Ghost not many days hence " (v. 5).
Then they would be ready for service. (And, of course, after the promise had been
received there would be no more need for tarrying).

Jewish minds had long been agitated over the coming of Massiah's kingdom.

When Jesus of Nazareth appeared on the scene of history the hopes of many

of the Jewish sattelite people were pined on Him. But these hopes were dashed
stones of the hill of Calvary when their conquerors crucified against the stone that sealed the tomb that contained His dead body

their deliverer. Now that He had risen from the dead and their hopes were burning
revived. "Will the kingdom come now?" was the production (v. 6). Questions

about the kingdom are pertinent, the Lord implies, but as for answering the

question about the time of the com ng of the kingdom, this He could not do.

To know many things about the kingdom is quite proper (v. 3), but "it is not for you to know the times of the seasons" (v. 7).

II. THE RISEN LORD COMMISSIONING, 1:8-11

A. The Nature of the Commission, 8

Until the kingdom should come the disciples were commissioned to be occupied with witnessing their Lord.

- W 1. Its Power. The power of the commission was the person of the Holy Spirit, who would come upon them and baptize them on the day of Pentecost.
- 2. Its Personnel. The commission is to be carried out by the disciples and all who are "my witnesses" (the correct rendering of "witnesses unto me").
- 3. Its Program. The commission's outreach is world-wide. In the Acts the record of witnessing in Jerusalem is in chapters 1-7; in Judea and Samaria, 8-12; unto the uttermost part of theearth, 13-28.
 - B. The Need for the Commission, 9-11

The commission was given in view of the departure of the Lord, and His ascension absence until His return. That departure is described in verses 9 and 10 by three different verbs—"taken up" (epaireo), "received" (hupolambano), and "went up" (poreuomai). As He ascended there appeared two angles who announced the promise of His return. They declared that the same Person would return in the same way and to the same place. This will be fulfilled in that future day when the Lord returns during the battle of Armageddon with His own to set up His millennial and eternal dkingdom (Rev. 19:11-16)f. Zech. 12:10; 14:4).

A. The Necessity for Choosing, 12-20

After the Lord had ascended the disciples returned to Jerusalem from nearby Mount Olivet (less than one mile--a sabbath/s day's journey). They assembled in the upper room which many understand to have been in the house of Mary the mother of John Mark. There were about 120 there altogether--

III. THE RISEN LORD CHOOSING, 1:12-26.

including the remaining 11 apostles, Jesus' mother and brothers (who had not believed in Him until after the resurrection (John 7:5)), and some other women. They continued in prayer and supplication during the 10 days between Christ's ascension and the coming of the Spirit. As they did Peter stood up and took charge of choosing a successor to Judas the betrayer. He reminded the group that the Old Testament Scriptures predicted Judas' treachery (Psa. 41:9) and that they must now app choose someone to take his place.

B. The Nature of Choosing, 21-26

First Peter declares the qualifications necessary for an apostle. He must be a witness of the resurrection and a companion of the Lord during the whole of His public earthly ministry (vv. 21-22). Two candidates were nominated, Justus and Matthias. Then they prayed not for the Lord to choose but for the Łozdźs choice which the Lord had already made to be made known to them. The two names were put on lots, and placed in an urn, and then the one which first fell from the urn was taken to be the Lord's choice. This was in accord with Old Testament practice (cf. Prov. 16:33) and is a method no longer needed by Christians whith the coming of the abiding presence and and the sindwelling of the Spirit (Rom. 8:14; Jas. 1:5). The lot fell on Matthias and from not Paul, that time on he was considered as the twelfth apostle (cf. 2:14; 6:2).

Apparently it will be Matthias who will be included in the fulfillment of new such promises as Matt, 19:28 and Revelation 21:14.

PENTECOST -- BIRTHDAY OF THE CHURCH, 2:1-47

I. THE PROPHECY OF PENTECOST

Pentecost was a divinely planned event, prophesied in Leviticus 23.

The first of the annual feasts of Israel was Passover which marked a new beginning for the children of Israel. This was a type of Christ our passover sacrificed for us (I Cor. 5:7). The second was the feast of Unleavened Bread which lasted for seven days and which typified the lifelong walk of the believer fn separation from evil. Firstfruits was the third feast, a type of the resurrection of Christ (Jn. 12:24; I Cor. 15:23). This was followed 50 days later by the feast of Pentecost of, as it was sometimes called, the feast of Weeks because it fell seven (a week of) weeks after Firstfruits. Likewise 50 days afterthe resurrection of Christ the event recorded in Acts 2 occurred.

II. THE POWER OF PENTECOST

The Power of Pentecost is a Person, the Holy Spirit of God. Pentecostal power is simply the unhindered working of the Spirit in any life at any time.

On the May of Pentecost He came to baptize the disciples into the body of Christ, thus welding them a unit the risen Head of the Church (Acts 11:15-16; I Cor. A. 12:13). At Pentecost He also filled This is something that never nosded to be repeated is experienced by each individual only once As other groups of believers were brought into the body of Christ they were baptized by the Spirit (Acts 11:15-16), but each individual experiences this only once (note the acrist tense of the verb in I Cor. 12:13). On the day of Pentecost the disciples were also filled with the Spirit (2:4), something which they and additionally believers may experience repeatedly (cf. 4:31; 6:5; 7:55; 9:17). At Pentecost, too, the Spirit inaugurated His permanent relationship of indwelling all believers in fulfillment of the Savior's promise recorded in John 14:17.

In a very real sense Pentecost was the beginning of the age of the Spirit.

A. The Evidence of His Coming, 1-4

The coming of the Spirit was evided by wind, fire, and tongues. Stricty

speaking it was not wind but a roar or reverberation which filled the house.

the phrase in

The literal translation of verse 2 is "an echoing sound as of a mighty wind

what the tongues looked like as the tongues
borne violently." The fire was really the appearance of the tongues, for the

tongues divided themselves over the company, a tongue settling unon the head of
each one. Finally the disciples began each to speak in a real language new to
the speaker and understood by those from the farious lands who were in Jerusalem
for the feast of Pentecost. The natural sense of these verses indicates that
the tongues were not jargon but real languages, and that the miracle was in the
ability to speak these
giving of the languages not in sensitizing in some way the hearing of the hearers.

B. The Effects of His Coming, 5-13

Such startling and strange phenomena could not help but attract attention, and szonzez quickly a crowd gathered. Pentecost was one of the three festivals at which the law required attendance of Jews at the temple. Jerusalem was jammed. Indeed, 200,000 people could crowd together in the temple area. Jews from ians Babylonia, Syrian Jews, Egyptian Jews, Roman Jews, Cretes and Arabians all heard of the wonderful works of God in his mother tongue.

At first the people were amazed (literally, wide-open astonishment, v. 7).

Then theyewere perplexed or at a loss to understand what they were witnessing (v. 12).

They knew that they did not know what was going on, and since ignorance is always a blow to man's pride, they were driven to criticism (v. 13). They concluded that the disciples were drunk (cf. Eph. 5:18).

III. THE PREACHING OF PENTECOST, 2:14-47

A. The Sermon, 14-36

As spokesman for the 12, Peter seizes the opportunity afforded by the charge of drunkenness levelled at the disciples to preach Jesus to the crowd.

1. Introduction-explanation, 14-21 No The message began with an explanation of the pheme

The message began with an explanation of the phememena. It could not be drunkenness since it was only 9 o'clock in the morning, and Jews engaged in the exercises of the synagogue on a feast day worldzbawerabstaned from eating and drinking until 10 a.m. or even noon. It was not intoxication, Peter says; it was the inspiration

of the Spirit that caused the spectacle. To prove that the Spirit can produce such things Peter quotes from Joel 2:28-32. This is a prophecy which will be fulfilled during the millennium when Israel is reestablished in her own land.

Peter is not saying that the prophecy was fulfilled at Pentecost or even that it knowing from Jeel what the Spirit can do was partially fulfilled; he is simply reminding the Jews that they should have recognized what they were then seeing as a work of the Spirit also. He continues to quote from Joel at length only in order to be able to include the salvation invitation recorded in verse 21.

2. Theme--Jesus is Messiah, 22-35. To the English reader it means little to say that Jesus is Messiah or Christ. Jesus Christ to us is in the nature of first and last names, but to the Jew Christ or Messiah was a well-defined concept from their Old Testament Scriptures and Jesus of Nazareth was many another imposts upstart religious teacher. To say that Jesus was the Christ was to most phasphemy. Thus Peter sought to prove to his audience that Jesus of Nazareth whom they knew well was their Messiah whom they also knew well. From Old Testament prophecies Peter reminds them of the picture of Messiah. From contemporary facts he paints a picture of Jesus. He superimposes these two pictures on each other to prove that Jesus is Christ, and the focal point of his entire argument is the resurrection.

First he proclaims the resurrection of Jesus (vv. 22-24). Then he

reminds recalls the predictions of the resurrection from the old Testament

(vv. 25-31). He shows that it was not David who was raised since he is still

in a transfer the predictions of the resurrection about someone else, namely

Messiah. "He seeing this before spake of the resurrection of Christ" (v. 31a).

Having zkown proclaimed the resurrection of Jesus and having shown that Messiah

had to be raised, Peter then reinforces his argument by citing proofs of the

resurrection of Jesus (vv. 32-36). He reminds them that they were eyewitnesses

of that miracle (v. 32), and we should remember that he was speaking to many

residents of the city in which the resurrection had taken place less than two

Second, months before. Next he cites the exaltation of Jesus to the right hand of God (vv. 33-35). This answers two questions: Can a mere man occupy that place of honor? and Can a dead man be exalted? Exixdxzke The third proof of the resurrection is the outpouring of the Holy Spirit--something a mere man and certainly not a dead man could not do (vv. 33-35).

- 3. Conclusion-Application, 36. The pictures of Messiah and Jesusof Nazareth coincide. The proof of Jesus' resurrection is incontrovertible. New Peter puts it squarely to his audience to decide as God appleased though him once again to the very people who had crucified His Son.
- B. The Results, 37-47
- 1. A Conviction, 37. The word translated "pricked" is a rare one and means to pierce, sting sharply, stun, smite. Outside the Scriptures it is used of horses dinting the earth with their hoofs. The hearts of the people had been smitten sharply by the preaching of the Word. This brought the question, "What shall we do?"
- 2. A Change, 38-41. Peter's answer was twoxfort: "Repent and be haptized."

 This demanded a two-fold change: first a change of mind and second a change of association. Change of mind is the meaning of the word repent. This is not mere sorrow for sin, though that may be involved; nor is it a mere mental assent to facts. It is thekind of basic change of mind which will result in a change of life and is perhaps best conveyed by the phrase "change of heart" (Cf. Rom. 2:5 where lack of repentance is described as an "impentient heart"). For these people repentance meant a whole-hearted change of mind about Jesus of Nazareth, thinking of Him no longer as merely the carpenter's son, a religious imposter, but now receiving Him as Lord (Divine) and Messiah.

The second part of the change concerned their association as evinced by baptism. This was the visible proof of their repentance. This was not any sort of baptism (for baptism was well-known to these people both because of the ministry of John the Baptist and because of twas one of the acts a Jewish proselyte had to undergo), but baptism in the name of Jesus Christ.

Since Baptism żxzzzzzz signifies association withthe message, group, or person involved in performing it, baptism in the name of Jesus Christ meant for these people azzoczatzon a severing of their ties with Judaism and an association with the Josus message and group. Baptism was the act of demarkation. Even today for a Jew it is not his profession of Christianity nor his attneance at Christian services nor his acceptance of the New Testament but his submission to water baptism that definitely and finally excludes him from the Jewish community and marks him off as a Christian. This explains the insistence on the ordinance. Verse 41 implies that the 3000 converts were all baptized on the same day, and this would have been quite possible with the number of pools and reservoirs in Jerusalem and with all 120 disciples helping. This baptism was "for the remission of sins" (v. 38). This does not mean in order that sins might be remitted for everywhere in the New Testament sins are forgiven as a result of faith in Christ not baptism. It means be baptized because of the remission of sins. The Greek preposition eis, for, has this meaning of because of not only here but also in such a passage as Matthew 12:41 where the meaning can only be "they repented because of (not in order to) the preaching of Jonah." Repentance brought the remission of sins for this Pentecostal arowd, and because of the remission of sins they were asked to be baptized. (An excellent discussion of the Greek of verse 38 will be found in Robertson's Word Pictures in the New Testament, III, pp. 35-36).

3. A Church, 42-47. Although the word church does first appears in Acts in 5:11 (the word is not in the best manuscripts of \$\frac{2}{47}\$), the fellowship formed at Pentecost was the first Christian church. It was characterized by four things. (1) The teaching of the apostles. This would have consisted largely of the rehearsal of the facts and meaning of the life of Jesus. (2) Fellowship. This means the sharing of their spiritual blessings in Christ and the sharing of their material substance. (3) Breaking of bread refers to the remembrance of the Lord in the Lord's Supper. (4) Prayers.

There were there results of this kind of fellowship. (1) Fear came (v. 43).

upon everyone. This is a perfectly normal and healthy emotion for believers

(I Pet. 2:17). (2) In this instance it was apparently encouraged by the miraculous signs which the apostles did. (2) Feldowship in material things was enjoyed (vv. 44-46).

by these early Christians. No doubt many of the pilgrims to the feast of Pentecost lingered in Jerusalem to learn more of their new-found faith in Christ, and this created pressing financial needs. After the incident recorded in chapter 5 there is no further mention of the use of this plan of sharing in common, for its necessity was undoubtedly short-lived. (3) Favor was enjoyed by the new group with all the people (v. 47). (4) Furtherance of the group was experienced as the Lord added daily such as were being saved (v. 47).

Pentecost is past. The church does not need another Pentecost. The Holy Spirit is here making available all His power. The need of the Church is simply dedicated Christians who continue steadfastly in the apostles' doctrine, fellowship, breaking of bread and prayers.

THE HEALING OF A LAME MAN, 3:1-26

One of the signs and wonders mentioned in tz 2:43 is singled out for detailed description in this chapter. This one was chosen because of its public nature and because of its relation to the progress of the early church.

I. THE MIRACLE, 1-11

A. Its Circumstances, 1-3

The circumstances surrounding this miracle werethe crossing of two habits. The one was Ests the habit of Peter and John in going (imperfect tense) to the temple; the other was the habit of the lame man to be carried (also imperfect) to the temple to beg. Even after the new church had been formed the disciples continued to attend services at the temple (cf. 2:46).

B. Its Characteristics, 4-7

The miracle was unexpected, it was alms which the man was begging; it was healing which he received. The miracle was performed in the name of Jesus Christ (6b). A name stands for all that the person is; therefore, the name of Christ includes all the power of Christ. The miracle was instantaneous (v. 7). The miracle was complete (v. 7). The man was not partially healed but completely so. The verb strenthened "received strength" is a medical term.

C. Its Consequences, 8-11

As a result of this miracle rive things happened. First, the man was filled with as soon as he realized joy. He leaped up upon realizing that his feet and ankle bones had been strengthened. Second, God received praise, for the man went into the temple with Peter and John praising God for what He had done. Apparently he recognized the source of the miracle. Third, there was a testimony to the people around. Here was a well-known character whom they had \seen for years begging at the same prace stand; now he was walking and leaping. This caused such amazement among those who were at the temple that very quickly a crowd gathered in the colonnade at the eastern end of the south side of the temple area, the piazza known as Solomon's Porch (cf. John 10:23).

This miracle is rightly seen an an illustration of spiritual healing.

The lame man serves as an excellent picture of the helplessness and hopelessness of the sinner (cf. Rom 5:6; Eph. 2:12) whose only hope is in the name of Jesus Christ (Acts 4:12). When one believes in Him then instantaneously there is complete salvation which brings joy to the sinner, praise to God and a testimony of to others.

II. THE MESSAGE, 12-26

A. The Introduction, 12

As on the day of Pentecost Beter here used a curreent event or
to introduce
the healed man as an object lesson as the introduction for his message to
the people who had gathered. He also rebuked them for their
surprise to what had occurred but for their lack of comprehension as to
how it had happened-as if either John or himself were able to perform such
a miracle.

B. The Theme, 13-18

As at Pentecost the theme of Peter's sermon is: Jesus whom you killed is the Messiah. Almost as remarkable as the healing of the lame man is thetransformation in Peter, for the same man who two months before had denied Jesus was now standing before some of the same people preclaiming Him. designating the Lord in five ways and The theme of the sermon is developed by making five statements about the Lord. The five designations are: (1) Servant (v. 13 instead of "Son"). This would immediately identify Jesus of Nazareth with the Servant-Messiah of the Old Testament (Isa. 42:1-9; 49:1-13; 52:13-53:12) and emphasized Peter's point that Messiah was not ondy a conquering ruler but a suffering servant (v. 18). (2) Jesus (v.13) links Messiah to the man of Nazareth who was only too well known to this crowd. (3) And (4) The designations Holy One and Just asserts His equality with God and affirms the Deity of Jesus. (5) Prince of Li fe (v. 15) 5:31: which means literally author or leader of life (cf. Heb. 2:10; 12:2 for only other occurrences of the word).

The five statements about the Lord are these: (1) He is exalted (v. 13)

- (2) this is the same one whom was cracified delivered up by the people (v. 13);
- (3) He was falsely accused (vv. 13-14); (4) He was killed (v. 15); but (5) God raised Him from the dead and you know it(v. 15).

Peter then drawing all this together answers the original question-now was the lame man healed? It was His name through faith in His mame (v. 16)
that accomplished the miracle.

C. The Conclusion, 19-26

The only conclusion in view of theevidence was that it waz is imperative to repent. These Jewswere zaz asked to change their minds about Jesus and change their way of life by turning to the Lord. Peter promised that this would bring forgiveness of sins and the return of the Lord to establish the kingdom promised to Israel. (The word "when" in v. 19 should be replaced in the translation by the phrase "that so"). For some this promise ofzthe concerning the kingdom has posed a difficult problem; for they ask. "Would the kingdom have come then if these people had repented?" The answer to that hypothetical question is Yes, but need not be given for the question is purely hypothetical since the plan of God Zzawe left in no doubt the disposition of this offer by the people at that time. And yet it is true that the repentance of Israel will bring personal forgiveness of sins and the ushering in of the kingdom. The phrase "times of refreshing" (v. 19) is in the New Testament unique to this passage though it is evidently a synonym for thephrase "restitution of all things" (v. 21) or the millennial kingdom. But that kingdom will not come apart from personal repentance. This was what the Jews rejected in Christ's ministry (cf. Matt. 4:17) and it was what they rejected on that day.wzenzzezez proxenedz

THE FIRST PERSECUTION, 4:1-37

Persecution was a blessing of the apostolic church. Five times in eleven years hands were stretched forth to vex the churches in Jerusalem. This chapter records the first of these persecutions# with its resultant increase in power. The year is 33 and the scene is Jerusalem.

I. THE PERSECUTION

A. The Prison, 1-4 The opposition of the Pharisees is paramount in the Gospels. In the Acts (v. 1) it is the Sadducees who oppose the Christians. This is because the Sadducees disbelieved the doctrine of resurrection which the apostles were preaching so powerfully. So intnese was their hatred in t is instance that they took every had the high-ranking c aptain of the temple (v. 1) in on the arrest. However, although the message was a savor of death to them it was a savor of life to many others so that the total number of believers in Jerusalem was about 5000. This is the last time any such numbering is recorded.

B. The Preaching, 5-12

zazbigh An august audience heard Peter's sermon on this occasion. Annas and the real power in the priesthood the representative of Aaron in Jewish eyes; Caiphas, his son-in-law and the Roman appointed high priest (cf. Jn. 15:24), John and Alexander, two notable persons, rulers, elders, and scribes were present. This was the spiritual aristocracy of Jerusalem, for they were the controlling and wealthiest of the priests. Their question was straightforward: How was the lame man healed?

The Spirit of God filling Peter spoke through him a message of 92 words in which Peter turned the tables on the Sanhedrin and put them on trial. In this brief message he called attention first to that fact that the miracle was a good dedd, not a crime (v. 9). Then he boldly stated that it took place in the name of the hated Nazarent (xxxx10) for whose curcifixion they were responsible and from which death God raised Him (v. 10). He called attembion to the fact that Messiah's rejection was predicted in the Old Testament (v. 11 cf. Psa. 118:22) and finally he offered salvation to them in that same name (v. 12).

C. The Punishment, 13-22

While Peter and John were absent the sanhedrin in conference recognized that

The they could not deny the occurrence of the miracle but that their problem was to keep

this doctrine of the resurrection of Jesus from spreading. So they charged Peter

and John not to speak in His name any more. Their reply was simple: We must obey

witness to the first of the same and ignorant in verse 13

simply means untrained formally in the Rabbinic schools. Etzadoesxnotxnean "That

they had been with Jesus" in the same verse is probably to be understood as referring physical, not particularly
to their being with the Lord during the last week of His earthly life.

II. THE POWER, 32-37

A. Because of the right use of Prayer, 23-31

After being threatened the disyaples returned to their own company to pray. First they addressed God as Lord(v. 24). This is not the usual word for Lord but the one from which comes the English word despot. It is used for the absolute relationship of a master to his slaves (cf. I Tim. 6:1-2; Tit. 2:9; I Pet. 2:18). Second, they showed their recognition of the power of God (v. 24b)# particularly as it was displayed in the act of creation. Third, they submitted themselves to the plan of God (vv. 25-28). As they prayed the Spirit opened to them the memaing of Psalm 2 as it applied to the cricufixion of Christ. The responsibility for that act is laid upon Herod, Pontius Pilate, Gentiles and the people of Israel (v. 27) and the human responsibility is interwoven with predeteermined plan of God (w. 28). Fourth, they presented their petitions to God (vv. 29-30). Even in the stress of the situation their prayer was filled mainly with praise, not petition. But they did ask for one thing -- boldness and for that boldness to be confirmed by miracles. They did not ask the Lord to remove the threats nor to relieve them of the problem, but to give them boldness to continue to testify and for confirmation of their message by signs and wonders.

The answer was given with another infilling of the Spirit which was seen in this instance visibly by the shaking of their meeting place.

And when they were filled again (as they had been on the day of Pentecost, 2:4) they spoke the Word with boldness.

B. Because of the right use of the Purse, 32-27

"Mondy talks!" And it did in the early church. The fellowship was strengthened and needs met by the voluntary agreement to hold things in common. This is not "Christian communism." The sale of property was quite voluntary (v. 34). The right of possession was not abolished. The community did not control the money until it had voluntarily been given to the apostles. The distribution was not equally but according to need. These are not communistic principles. This is Christian charity in its finest display.

One of those who had a large part in this fellowship of goods was Barnabas. He was a Cypriot, evidently wealthy, called an apostle (14:14), and one whose spiritual gift was exhortation (cf. 11:23). But here he is an outstanding example of the love of Christ ruling the heart and displaying itself in caring for other believers.

6.

PURITY , PURGING, AND PERSECUTION, 5:1-42

I. PURITY, 1-11

"For the time is come that judgment must begin at the house of God" (I Pet. 4:17). Satan had been unsuccessful in his attempts to thrawt the message of the resurrection by attacking the church from without; so he attacked blows from within. But God intervened to preserve the purity of the testimony by purging out the weak members of the group. Sometimes subtraction is better than addition.

A. The Occasion,

Barnabas's generosity was undoubtedly been applaued in the church.

This set Ananias and Sapphire to thinking. They too had some real estate and they tooz wanted acclaim. So they sold the property and agreed simply to pretend that they were giving all that they had received for it to the church. Their sin was hypocrisy. No regulation required them to give theentire sum; but honesty in whatever they did decide to do was required. They simply pretended greater devotedness to Christ than they actually had, and for this they were judged.

B. The Operation

God operated and cut out this cancereds see in the group. Peter was the means used by God, not to call down judgment, but to point out the sin.

He diagnosed it as a sin against the Holy Spirit (vv. 3,9) which God judged by physical death. All sin is judged though God may not choose to do it inways in the same manner. Heaven's silence is not heaven't consent, and God hates sin in His people just as much today as He demonstrated His hatred in this instance. Naither is physical death an uncommon punishment for sin in New Testament times (cf. I Cor. 11:30; I Jn. 5:16).

C. The Outcome

There were three immediate results of this purging. First, the purity of the church was preserved in tact. Second, a wholesome, godly fear pervaded the group (v. 11). It was a dangerous thing to be a follower of Christ unless one was willing to walk straight. Third, new power was experienced by the believers. Signs and wonders were performed and many people were added to the Lord "multitudes both of men and women" (v. 14). Nothing will sap the power of a church's testimony there quickly than pews filled with sinning Christians. There is no substitute for personal purity but it costs to attain it.

II. PERSECUTION, 12-42

A. The Prelude, 12-16

Certain events within and outside the church led up to the persecution.

One was the miracle working power of the apostles. This authenticated the message and drew fire from the Sadducees. Another was the accord of the believers (v. 12) which set the Christians apart. Another was the growing number being added to the Christian group. This alarmed the Jewish leaders.

B. The Prison, 17-21a

Again it was the Saddueces who, enraged by the preaching of the resurrection, had the doostles cast into prison. Evidently they planned to gather in council the next morning to examine and punish the apostles.

But God had other plans, and sent an angel of the Lord who opened the prison and co manded them to do the very thing that had gotten them imprisoned. The apostles' full obedience is startling, for early in the morning they were in the temple again preaching.

C. The Preaching, 21b-39

When the sanhedrin sent for the prisoners they found none. But someone had told them that the prisoners were free and teaching in the temple again. So upon recapturing them they charged them with two things: first they disobedience to their previous order not to teach in the name of

Jesus and second, pla nning to charge the sanhedrin with the death of Jesus (v. 28).

obedience to

To this Peter simply replied that the law of God week priority over obedience

to man(v. 29), and then he took the opportunity to present the message once

again to them. "Them that obey Him" in verse 32 is not some special group of

believers to whom the Spirit is given but all believers who have obeyed

by believing (cf. Rom. 1:5). The effect on the hearers was one of rage,

not repentance (v. 33).

On the previous night in jail God used supernatural means to deliver
the apostles. Now He used natural means—the see counsel of one of the most
celebrated teachers of the law, Gamaliely to stopzize prevent any harm coming
to the apostles. Gamaliel was a Pharisee and may have been motivated only
by the desire not to let the Sadducees gain, the point. At any rate his
suggestion is "Hands off." He suggested dodging the issue whereas he might
claims
have suggested investigating the truth of the message. He recalled two cases
that of that of
—Theudas and Judas, which petered out because they were not of God, and concluded
said that this Jesus movement would do likewise if it was not.

D. The Punishment, 40-42

The sanhedrin agreed, but nonetheless had the apostles beaten for their disobedience to their previous command (cf. Deut 25:2-3). Then they released the apostles, who departed rejoicing that they were worthy to suffer for their Lord and who continued daily to preach and teach Christ both in private houses and in the temple. These were men with singleness of purpose—obey the great commission of their risen Lord.

WORKERS TOGETHER WITH GOD, 6:1-7

A. The Need for Workers, 1-2,4

Widows had always received particular attention from God in the legislation of the Old Testament. Many of them had believed in Christ and became then the responsibility of the new church. Some were Hellenistic Jews (that is, they had originally come from countries outside of Palestine) and others were Palestinian Jews (who spoke Hebrew and observed all the customs of the Judaism. The former group charged that their widows were being neglected in the daily distribution of the relief money. Regitimate of not, the charge was one of the reason for the need of additional workers.

Coupled with that was the bucreasing burden of the work which had fallen on the aposltes. They could not doxederythink continue to minister the Word and minister relief to the widows, so they suggested additional workers to assume some of the load.

B. The Norm for the Workers, 3

Five qualifications were required.

- (1) These helpers were to be men. The Greek word used is the specific one which means males.
 - (2) They had to be believers--"among you." No outsiders were to have part in the government of the church.)
 - (3) They had to be reputable. This is the meaning of "of honest report."

 Public testimony had to certify their sterling character (cf. I Tim. 3:7; 5:10;

 Tit. 1:6).
 - (4) They had to be spiritual--"full of the Holy Ghost." This was the normal, not unusual, expectation of the church.
 - (5) They had to be wise. This involves natural zenze intelligence as well the wiston of as control by the Spirit.

C. The Nature of the Work, 5-7

6. The Result of the Workers

C. The Results, 5-7

The multitude, pleased as the requirements set forth by the apostles, chose seven men. The names of all seven are Greek, and although it does not necessarily prove that they were all Hellenistic Jews, it does show that they were chosen in the interests of the Hellenists who had lodged the complaint.

the seven
The apostles directed, but the multitude chose. Then they were ordained;
that is, the apostles laid their hands on them as a sign of their association work that in the work (cf. Lev. 3\$2). The apostles identified themselves with the work of the seven through this act.

As a result the Word of God increased (v. 7) simply because the apostles had more time to devote to it. Too, many were added to the church including a company of priests.

Usually this passage is taken as the choosing of the first deacons
since the word "serve" in verse 2 is the verb from which we get the word deacon.

a technical
However, it is a question whether the word is being used in an official sense
to indicate the establishment of the office of deacon or whether the word
is being used in a general sense of those who serve as it is frequently in
Acts (cf. 1:17,25, 6:1,4; 11:29; 12:25; 19:22; 20:24; 21:19). Perhaps these
seven should be called helpers rather than official deacons though the office
of deacon had clearly developed by the time of Paul's first imprisonment (Phil. 1:1).

THE FIRST MARTYR, 8zz 6:8--8:la

His name means crown and he was the first to wear themartyr's crown.

Too, he was the historical link between Peter and Paul, for it is at Stepehen's stoning that Paul is first mentioned.

1. THE STIRRING OF THE PEOPLE, 6:8-7:1

Stephen was a "deacon" to whom God also gave the responsibility of

ministering the Word and whose ministry was confirmed by signs and wonders.

particularly among the Hellenistic Jews in Jerusalem

Apparently his ministry was especially aimed at the Libertines. These were

who according to verse 9 may have had as many as five synagogues.

Hellenistic Jews

By some means these unbelieving Jews were able to stir up the pepple and they accused Stephen before the Sanhedrin. The charge was two-fold:

(1) Stephen was speaking against the temple and (2) he was changing the law of Moses. The charge also labelled him as a blasphemer. As he prepared to answer, the Sanhedrin saw his face shining as the face of an angel (cf. Exod. 34:29; II Cor. 3:18).

II. THE SERMON OF STEPHEN, 7-2-53

This is the longest sermon recorded in the Book of Acts. It is as long that the three of Paul's together, and it is an able defense for the claims of Jesus Christ (although His name is not used and He is referred to only in v. 52). The text of the message is found in verse 51: "As your fathers did, so do ye."

Stephen proved this point by citing the simple facts of Jewish history and climaxing nizzwordz with the contemporary rejection of Jesus.

Stephen first cited the history of Abraham (vv. 2-8), for the him all Jews looked, and to him the promise of concerning theseed was given.

Stpehen then passed to Joseph (vv. 9-16) possibly because Joseph is such a good type of Christ. He was sold because of envy (cf. Mark 15:10) but God was with him (cf. Acts 29232 10:38); there was a famine which pictured Israel's

condition at that time; and it was the second time when Joseph was revealed to his brethren just as it will be at our Lord's second coming that Israel will recognize Him.

been levelled at him waszż concerned his relationship to Moses. He pointed out how Moses, the deliverer, had been rejected by his own people at first, and how Moses prophesied concerning Christ who was to come (v. 37).

(Note that the word translated "church" in v. 38 should be translated "assembly." This is the non-technical use of the word and in no way implies that the church waszżnzż existed in Old Testament times.) But even after Moses, the apostasy continued (vv. 39-53). While Moses was receiving the law the people were making a gold calf (v. 41). They worshipped the hosts of heaven, Moloch and Remphan (vv. 42-43). This brought him to the time of Solomon and his temple, and since he had been accused of defaming the temple he reminded his audience that God does not dwell in temples made by men (cf. 1. Kg. 8:27; lsa. 66:1-2).

Finally Stephen applied his message to the Sanhedrin. You, he said, are doing the same as your forefathers by rejecting God's message through Jesus. This, he charged, was a sin against the Holy Spirit, and he laid the blame for the slaying of Christ squarely at their feet (v. 52).

III. THE STONING OF STEPHEN, 7:54-8:1a

As Stpehen bore down with the truth, the members of the council began to murmur and become disorderly. Finally under so much conviction of heart they interrupted his mazza defense and rushed on him in preparation for stoning. So angered were they at him that they literally gnashed their teeth at him like ravenous beasts, stopped their ears so as not to hear him, cast him out of the city, and stoned him. There was no vote for recognition of the fact that the Sanhedrin did not of itself have the power of sentencing to death.

AZZZ Amid all the confusion stood the serene figure of Stephen, sustatined by the risma Lord standing on the right hand of God. This position indicates

His ministry as a Melchisedec priest giving sustenance to His people (cf. Gen. 14:18). Christ's work of redeeming is finished thus in this respect He is seated; but His work of upholding His own goes on—in this respect He is standing.

And as the stoning proceeded Stephen kneeled and prayed to the Lord to receive his spirit and not to lay this sin to the charge of the Jews. (This is a rare example of a prayer directed to the Second Person of the Trinity).

Then he fell asleep and was ushered immediately in the presence of his Savior.

But the story does not end there. The first sentence of the next chapter completes it. Stephen was dead, but God's work lived on and would soon be carried on through the life of the man Saul who was standing by holding Stephen's clothes and consenting to his death. Out of seeming tragedy came new advance. Out of Stephen came Paul.

ENFORCED EXPANSION, 8:1-40

The Great Commission was not confined to Jerusalem but included Judea, Samaria and the uttermost part of the earth. The means God used to spread the good news beyond Jerusalem was the fourth persecution (1-4) with its resultant witness in Samaria (5-25) and on the Gaza road (26-40).

I. THE PERSECUTION IN JERUSALEM, 1-4

justice of the

In Jerusalem opinion was evidently divided over the death of Stephen.

Some devout Jews were not so sure that the right thing had been done and they saw to his burial (v. 2). Others For others his death only whetted their appetites, and they intensified their persecution of the Christians. This time, however, the apostles were not in directly attacked; only the disciples were scattered.

One of the chief persecutors, and the man who now begins to dominate the book of Acts, was Saul. The intensity of his attacks is shown by the facts that he went into the homes, he included women, and he saw to it that they were imprisoned. Beating and loss of property would also have been involved. According to 11:19 those who were scattered went as far as Phoenicia, Cyprus, and Antioch.

II. THE PREACHING IN SAMARIA, 5-25

Another deacon is now brought to our attention. Philip went to Samaria preaching thereoner Christ to them. One of those who heard was Simon the sorcerer who had deceived the people with his claims. He too believed (v. 13) and was baptized. However, his faith was evidently not unto salvation (cf. v. 19 and Jas. 2:2222 14-20), for he apparently only believed that Jesus was a great power from God and he did not receive Him as his Saviour. He believed facts about Jesus but did not transfer his trust to Him.

when the apostles in Jerusalem heard what had happened they sent Peter and John to verify things. Laying their hands on them the gift of the Spirit

was imparted to the Samaritans as well. When Simon saw this great miracle offered money to buy this power thinking it would enhance his sorcery. But Peter discerned the true state of his heart and besought him to repent of his wickedness.

Why was the gift of the Spirit delayed until the coming of Peter and John?

To answer this we must recall who the Samaritans were. They were half-caste

Jews (cf. II Kings 17:24; Ezra 4; Neh. 6; John 4) who had their own rival

worship system. "The Jews have no dealings with the Samaritans" (Jn. 4:9).

If the Spirit had been given to the Samaritans while Philip was preaching

then the Samaritans might well have thought that their brand of Christianity

was distinct from the Judean brand just as their existing worship was

distinct from Judaism. Thus there would have been two churches. But by

in the giving of the Spirit,

using the hands of Peter and John, apostles from Jerusalem, God assured

unity in the infant church. In the house of Cornelius (10:44) there was

no delay in order to prove to the Jews present that Gentiles were coming

into the church on an equal basis with them.

III. THE PREACHING ON THE GAZA ROAD, 26-40

Gaza was originally a frotress cityzzbatzz on the road to Egypt.

In 96 B.C. it was utterly destroyed and while a new city was rebuilt the road to Egypt ran through the old fortress which was desert (ed).

Travelling on this road was an official of the queen of Ethopia (all of Africa south of Egypt) who, evidently as a proselyte of the gate (Deut. 23:1), had been to Jerusalem to worship. As he was returning he was reading Isaiah when he met Philip.

Philip's steps in this part of the chapter were definitely ordered of the Spirit. Engaged in a most successful evangelistic work in Samaria the Spirit commanded him to interrupt it and go to the Gaza road (v. 26).

Because Philip obeyed, the Spirit directed his next move which was to join himself to the Ethopian's chariot (v. 29). How many other chariots passed

previously we do not know, but it was not until Philip had explicit leading
that he moved from the side of the road. But when told to speak to the
Ethopian Philip was bold to invade his privacy and wisely speak to him
on the basis of the Scripture which he was engaged in reading. He showed
the man that Isaiah was speaking of Christ who was the Jesus of Nazareth
of whom the Ethopian had heard a great deal in Je usalem. Philip apparently to be instructed him concerning baptism, for as soon as they saw water the
Ethopian requested it. (Verse 37 is not in the best manuscripts, and
therefore is best not taken as After this the Spirit caught Philip away

Azotus which is and continued preaching as he made his way north to Caesareay
Though This best had not gundly have Planning where he apparently made his home (21:28). Because of Philip's Sansitivity
to the leading of the Spirit the gospel had now gone to Ethopia, one of the
uttermost parts.

1800 ARCH STREE

THE CONVERSION OF PAUL, 9:1-31

of the man who wzzz dominated the narrative from this point on, The chapter and the is the first of three accounts of Paul's conversion in Acts (cf. 22:1-16; 26:9-18).

I. THE CONVERSION, 1-9

Paul was a determined man whose very life breath was "breth threatenings and slaughter against the disciples of the Lord." He was like a warhorse who had the scent of battle (for the verb is literally breathing in) and He cast his eyes who was looking for new fields to conquer. To the north where lay Damascus where there was a large group of Christians. Perhaps some had been in Jerusalem for Pentecost and had returned with the news of the events of that day. Those who believed had not been persecuted, for they had not even been forced to separate from the synagogues (22:22). But Paul had in mind changing all that, so he asked for authority from the high pribit in Jerusalem to bring any Damascus Christians to Jerusalem for trial.

God had other plans. Four things stand out in this account of Paul's Salvation.

First, it is evident from the Lord's words "it is hard for thee to kick against the pricks" that in his innermost soul Paul was under conviction.

He had been trying to stifle the goading of his conscience which the exemplary lives of the believers had prodded. by increasing the intensity of him persecution.

Second, there was conversion. As the voice from heaven asked "Why began to persecutest thou me?" it beganzto dawned on Paul that Jesus of Nazareth was the Messiah and Lord. And this tramen life-changing truth was confirmed to him when the Lord said, "I am Jesus whom thou persecutest." In that moment when he recognized Jesus as his Messiah and placed his faith in Him Paul became a new man in Christl

Third, there was consecration. Paul was one of those rare persons who settled the matter of life service at the same time as he settled the question of the salvation of his soul (cf. I Sam. 3:9). When he asked "What wilt thou have me to do?" he was offering the Lord all his life for service without reservation.

Fourth, there was communion during the three days he was without sight, food, and water.

II. THE CHRONOLOGY, 10-31

A. Damascus, 10-22

On the scene appeared one of whom nothing is previously recorded and who disappears from the record almost as soon as he appears. Ananias to whom the Lord appeared was God's instrument in Paul's infant Christian life. After being assured by the Lord that Paul was a changed man (vv. 13-16) Ananias immediately went to the house of Judas in Straight Street, Damascus, to minister to Paul in the matters of hissight, his baptism, his filling with the Spirit, his physical need for foo d, and communion in the things of the Lord. After some days Paul began to preach Christ in the synagogues of Damascus. The people couldn't believe what they heard, for here was the arch-persecutor now preaching the faith which he had set out to destroy.

B. Arabia, Galatians 1:17

In this passage Paul reveals the fact that immediately after his conversion he spent three years in Arabia before going to "erusalem extended to see the apostles. This period of time is referred to in Acts only apparently only by the phrase "after that many days were fulfilled" (9:23). To what specific spot in Arabia the apostle retired we do not know, but it may have been a deserted place or it may well have been to one of the cities to the south of Palestine. Weither are we given any clue as to how he

spent his time there, but evidently he was reorienting himself and his theology in the light of has Damascus Road experience.

In addition to all his previous training in the Rabbinical school of Gamaliel, God considered that he still needed three more years before he was prepared for service.

C. Damascus, 23-25

Paul's testimony in the synagogues of Damascus was even more powerful after hisArabian sojourn -- so much so that the Jews plotted against his life; and the had the disciples not helped him excape by letting him over the city he would have been slawn wall in a basket, presumably his life would have been taken. D. Jerusalem, 26-29 (cf. Gal. 1:18-19)

When Paul came to Jerusalem the disciples were afraid of him, still fearing that he was not really a follower of the Lord. But Barnabas vouched for him, and he remained there about 15 days, witnessing particularly to the Hellenistic Jews (with whom Paul had cooperated in the death of Stephen) with such effectiveness that again his life was in jeopardy. E. Tarsus, 30-31

When the plot was discovered the disciples took Paul to the seaport, Caesarea, and he went home to Tarsus. He was probably there about six or seven years before he was called to help Barnabas in the work at Antioch. This must have been a very difficult time, for the one who had wone away as a promising rabbinical student had now returned a despised Christian.

Wed, 22md

ATH933011.189

THE CONVERSION OF THE GENTILES 9:32-11:18 GENTILES IN THE CHURCH, 9:32-11:18

I. THE PREPARATION OF THE MESSENGER, 9:32-10:22

A. Peter the Leader, 9:32-43

The action returns again to Peter who was visiting the churches

The action returns again to Peter who was visiting the churches in Palestine which were having good growth (v. 31). When he came to Lydda he was used in the healing of Aeneas who had been zzek paralyzed for eight years, and who apparently was an unbeliever. Since Aeneas' paralytic condition was well-known in the region, his miraclous healing, head also well-known, became the means of causing many to turn to the Lord.

Meanwhile, at nearby Joppa on the coast, a female disciple, Dorcas, who had spent her days doing good works in making clothes for the needy, died. When the disciples heard that Peter was only 10 miles away in Lydda they sent for him. When he came he found the widows (probably those who had received clothes from Dorcas) weeping in the upper chamber where her body in imitation of the Lord in the house of Jairus (Matt. 9:25), had been laid. Peter asked them all to leave and knowlingzdownz having prayed he commanded Dorcas to azizzz come to life. When she saw Peter she sat up, he offered her help, and he presented her alive to the waiting people.

This too became known in the vicinity, and like the healing of Aeneas it was the cause of many turning to the Lord. And Peter remained there is the guest of Simon the tanner.

B. Peter the Learner, 10:1-22

The scene now shifts to Caeserea, 27 miles up the coast where we are introduced to a distinguished centurion who commanded the hundred men of the Italian band. He, of course, was a Gentile, but a very devout one. Probably he was a proselyte of the gate; that is, he believed in the God of Judaism and His government, but had not yet taken any of the steps to become a full-fledged proselyte. He was a generous and prayerful man (v. 2). However, he was not yet a saved man (cf. 11:14) even though he was so very religious.

As he waspraying one day and angel of God appeared and told him to send for Peter in Simon the tanner&s house in Joppa. Immediately, doubting nothing, Cornelius sent two of his servants to Joppa.

The next day when the messengers were nearing Joppa, Peter, waiting for the noon meal, was on the flat roof of thezh Simon's house praying.

Ceremonially

He fell into a trance and saw a great sheet full of all sorts of unclean animals being let down from heaven. Suddenly a voice commanded: "Rise, Peter; kill, and eat." Peter appreently recognized the order as from cel, but he did not acknowledge the Lord's right to command him to do what was forbidden by the Mosaic law for a Jew to do. Zezkerzepized His reply was a great contradiction: "Not so, Lord;" for if He is Lord one cannot say, "Not so", and if one says, "Mot so", He cannot be Lord. But God assured him that He had cleaned these animals, and repeated the action three times.

Now At this p oint the Cornelius' servants appeared, and tince the Lord had told Peter that he should go with them, he did. All the time he was pondering the meaning of the vision, which was simply that unclean Gentiles were now to be cleaned by the gospel of Christ, and brought into the church on the same basis as Jewish believers.

ZZ. II. THE PREACHING OF THE MESSAGE, 10:23--11:18

Peter opened by declaring that he now understood that God was no respecterof persons, a fact which the Old Testament affirms repeatedly (cf. Deut. 10:17; II Sam. 11:14; II Chron. 19:7). God's message to all peoples is peace by Jesus Christ (v. 36). Then the essential facts of the life and death of Christ are stated—He proved Himself by His life (v. 38), He was crucified (v. 39), He was raised and seen by predetermined witnesses (vv. 40-41), and He will someday judge the living and the death (v. 42). Then Peter invited these Gentiles ("whosoever") to believe in Him and receive remission of sins y(v. 43).

Apparently Peter did not really finish his meesage, for his he was speaking the Spirit fell upon these people who believed the message, and they spoke in tongues as the evidence of the Spirit's coming.

This, of course, was completely astonished the Jewish brethren with Peter (note that Peter had wisely) taken along witnesses, for v. 23), for this clearly meant that God wad received these Gentiles into the fellowship not only on an equal basis with the Jews but aptirely apart from Jewish laying on of hands. The Spirit's coming at the moment of salvation is the normal pattern as seen here in the conversion of the Gentiles, and the gift of tongues was the sign to the Jews present of God's working. total water baptism followed and the Peter and the others remained a few days with the new converts. It must have been a times of very happy and precious fellowship enjoying their oneness in Christ.

But all were not happy over these Gentile converts. Those brethren in Jerusalem heard what had happened and they accused Peter of violating Jewish principles law by eating with uncircumcised Gentiles. They had not yet learned the lesson of the sheet filled with unclean animals but still considered Christianity to be exclusively for Jewish believers. So Peter rehearsed for them the events 66 the previous days (11:1-18). He told them of the great sheet, of the baptizing work of the Spirit on Gentiles just as He had done for them at Pentecost (vv. 15-16), and mentioned the presence of

the six Jewish brethren he had taken with him to Caeserea. Then he simply rested his case by asking, If God and for Gentiles what He had done for us, who was I to withstand God? (v. 17). When the leaders heard these facts they too glorified God for having given eternal life to the Gentiles.

harmonously
The first test had been successfully passed, but the church was to deal again with this problem of Gewish-Gentile relations in the church (ch. 15).

THE CHURCH AT ANTIOCH, 11:19-30

T. THE FOUNDING OF THE CHURCH, 19-21

Luke now returns to the persecution of Stephen and related some of the events which followed; anmely, the scattering of the disciples. They were driven as far as Phenice (Phoenicia-- where Tyre and Sidon are located), Cyprus, and Antoich, and their preaching was restricted to Jews only. Probably the events recorded here preceded in point of time the preaching in the house of Cornelius so that those who were scattered had not heard that God was now including Gentiles in His purposes.

Many Jews who heard believed and turned to the Lord.

II. THE FURTHERING OF THE WMMX CHURCH, 22-26

The word of the conversion of these people got back to Jerusalem which, because of seniority, location, and connection with the Apostles. continued to be the "mother church." Barnabas was dispatched to see what was going on. Examanty He was chosen because he was a Hellenist as were many of these new converts and he was a Cypriot as were many of the preachers. He also possessed the spiritual qualities necessary for such a ministry, for he was a godd man (void of any censuring spirit), full of the Spirit and of faith. Coming to Antioch he saw the grace of God in the changed lives of the believers (cf. 13:12 for another example of doctrine in action) and exhorted them to cleave to the Lord. He also saw that a second person would be necessary to helps carry on the work there properly and so he sent for Saul in Tarsus. IT is possible that the apostles in Jrusalem had authorized Barnabas to Barnabas had send for Saul even before ke left Jerusalem since it seemed to be their custom to send two perople on such missions (cf. 8:14). A any rate both of them ministered in the church for a year teachding and exhorting the people.

It was in Antioch that the disciples were first called Christians. The word appears in the N'w T'stament only here, Acts 26:28, and I Peter 4:16.

It was not a term which God gave them nor which they bestowed upon themselves,

with but one which the Romans dubbed them (for it would be very unlikely that J^Ews would have used any name with Christ in it) and x @ roek x z would z we z we was a partisan of Christ or one who belongs to Ohrist; s party. Thus the work was furthered through the proper exercise of gifts in the ministries of Barnabas and Saul and through the distinct marking off of the believers as Christians.

III. THE FUNCTIONING OFTHE WORK, 27-30

return for the spiritual ministry they had received. Prophets from JErusalem Agabus, one of them, came to Antioch and prophesied of a famine to come (which did in the days of Claudius whose entire reign was plagued with shortages). Whenthe church heard of it they not only believed the prophecy but acted by collecting and sending to Jerusalem relief money. Barnabas and Saul were chosen to carry these benevolence and they are called elders. This is the first use of this word in Acts though the effice was undoubtedly an carry-over from the organization of the synagogue without any formal institution in the church. It is worthy of notice that this dispensing of meney was entrusted only to these high officers in the church, and that it was considered important enough to send away the two outstanding teachers in the church.

THE FIFTH PERSECUTION, 12:1-25

I. THE DEVILMENT OF HEROD, 1

For the fifth time now the church wazz in Jrusalem was plagued with persecution. First it was by the elders, rulers, and scribes (ch.4). Then it was fromthe Sadducees because of the preaching of the resurrection (ch.5). Those of the synagogues of the Libertines hounded Stephen to death (ch.6).

Afteexthix Along with this Saul headed intense persecution of the church at Jerusalem so that all but the apostles were scattered (ch.3). This fifth persecution was instigated by Herod about the same time that Paul and Barnabas were visiting the churches in Judea (11:29-30). This H rod was Herod Agrippa I, grandson of H rod the Great who was ruling at the time of the had birth of Christ. He was a man who cultivated the good will of the Jews by observing their customs and preferring their company. **Enorder further to court their favor he had James the brother of John killed He is described in secular sources as a mild, liberal, yet ambitious ruler.

II. The Death of James, 2

In order further to court the favor of the Jews Herod had James the brother of John killed. This son of Zebedee was decapitated in fulfillment of of the Lord's prophery in Mark 10:39. It is interesting to note that these inseparable two brothers one was the first apostle to die and the other was, as far as we know, the last.

III. THE DELIVERANCE OF PETER, 3-19

When H rod saw that the slaying of James pleased the Jews, he proceeded to extend his bedevilment of the church by seizing Peter. The time was Passover, 14th to 21st Nisan, Easter time, and the year was the year of Herod*8 death, A.D.44. But Herod did not want to kill Peter until after Passover since the Jews would able have been occupied with the rituals of Passover and less and to appreciate fully what he was doing for them.

In the meantime the church was praying, and in answer to their prayers



God delivered him the night before his planned execution. But Peter was sleeping that night! Undoubtedly he remembered the promise of the Lord that he would live to be an elderly man (Jn. 21:13). The deliverance was accomplished by an angel of the Lord and in the face of the strictest precautions (two by Peter's side and two at the door) Herod could make. Two chains and four soldiers were supposed to keep him safe for the executioner. Herod memembered what had happened before (5:19) when Peter was in javil and he didn't want any repeat performance. He even had But the power of God is greater than that of any Herod, and the chains fell off, the doors of the prison were opered, and Peter thought he was seeing a vidion. This is most natural particularly in view of his recent experience with the vision of the great sheet.

However, when he came to himself he realized what had happened (v. 11).

Then a very real problem faced him-where should a marked man go? He decided to go first where the church was gathered praying to let them know of his deliverence. So he went to the house of Mary the mother of John Mark. The damsel who answered the door could not believe that their prayers were being answered and thought spirit it was Peter's angel (or spiritual counterpart). Peter had to continue knocking, and when he finally convinced them that it was he, he related how the Lord had delivered him. Then he thought of his personal safety and departed into hiding in any place unnamed in the text. Of course, when the news got out that Peter was gone someone had to pay, and it was the soldiers who had been assigned to guard him who paid with their lives. Thus the fifth persecution ended in death for one (James) and deliverence for another (Peter). Inscrutible are the ways of God.

IV. THE DESTRUCTION OF HEROD, 20-23

After the deliverence of Peter Herod went to Caesarea. While there an attempt was made at reconciliation between Herod and the Chief cities of Phosenicia, Type and Sidon. The cause of the trouble is unknown, but although Tyre and Sidon were free cities under the Romans they had to maintain good relations with Herod since their they were economically dependent on Herod's territory. Blastus, the king's chamberlain, was their intermediary and peace

a reconciliation was evidently effected. The pubbic announcement of this was evidently- apparently made on a festival day (perhaps the anniversary of the Emperor's birthday). The people responded by shouting, "God's voice, not a man's!" Forthwith an angel of the L rd smote him because he did not repudiate the title demantable acclaimation and thus did not give God His glory. Josepheus says that Herod was immediately seized with violent internal pains and that he lingered in agony for five days before he finally died.

V. THE DISSEMINATION OF THE WORD, 24-25

In contrast to the persecutor's miserable demise, the Word of God flourished (cf. 6:7; 9:31). Persecution only promoted the Word. In the meantime Paul and Barnabas had fulfilled their mission of bringing the famine relief money to the churches in Judea, so they returned to Antioch taking with them John Mark.

THE FIRST MISSIONARY JOURNEY, 13:1-14:28

Chapter 13 marks a major division point in the book of Acts. The first twelve chapters have recorded the events of the spread of Christianity in Jerusalem, Judea and Mamaria. Now the third part of the Great Commission begins to be fulfilled, andthe gospel is taken to the uttermost part of the earth. Whereas 1-1% traced the progress of the message from J rusalem to Antioch, 13-28 records the movement from Antioch to Rome.

I. EVENTS IN ANTIOCH, 13:1-4

The church at Antioch was blessed with a number of outstanding leaders.

Barnabas and Saul had previously been mentioned (11:25). In addition, there were

Simeon (an African), Lucius (perhaps one of the group mentioned in 11:20),

and Manaen who was brought up in court with Herod Antipas (the one ruling during
the public ministry of Christ). One day as they were serving the Lord, the Sirit
said, "Separate me Barnabas and Saul for the work whereunto I have called them."

Two-fifths ofthe local leadership were being led out into other service.

The church evidently did not hesitate, but after fasting and paryaer laid their hands on them (as an indication of their association with them in their future ministry) and sent them away. So thefirst missionaries departed; and Cyprus, quite naturally they headed for the home of one of them (Barnabas).

II. EVENTS IN CYPRUS, 13:5-13

Salamis, on the east coast of Cyprus, was the first stop onthat island.helper (including John Mark who went along as a servent)

After preaching there in the synagogues, the group went to across the island

to Paphos. There the Roman deputy of the island, Sergius Paulus, was converted

after a struggle with Elymas the sorcerer who tried to keep him from believing.

temporary

Paul, discerning that Elymas was a tool of the devil, called down blindness on him

for his wickedness. It was here too that Paul began to use his Roman name, Paul,

since he was now in a Gentile environment. Saul was his Jewish name and Paul his

Greek name, and as was the common sustom he would have been given both names

at birth. Certainly the two names in no way represent the two natures but simply

the particular emphasis of his ministry now to Gentiles caused him to use his Greek name Paul.

Leaving Cyprus the party crossed the Mediterranean to Perga where

John Mark decided to leave and return to Jrusalem. No reason is given for

his defection, though evidently Paul considered whatever reason it was to an
unjustified one (15:38).

III. EVENTS IN GALATIA, 13:14-14:20

A. Antioch in Pisidia, 13:14-52

Pisidia was one of the regions into which the Roman province of Galatia was divided. Paul and Barnabas came thoraxat to this region (3600 ft. in elevation) after crossing the Taurus mountains from Perga. As was his custom Paulwent first to the synagogue where he was invited to speak (and this is the longest recorded sermon of Paul's). The message is imilar to Stephen's defense. First there was an historical review (vv.17-25)/ extending from the events of the Exodus to the life of Doivd and particularly the promise of a son given to D vid and finally to John the Baptist who clearly was not the fulfillment of that promise. This gave a natural introduction for the next part of the messagewhich showed that Christ, David's greater Son, was the fulfillment of that promise. Thus, second, Paul preached the gospel as it is in Jesus Christ, to them (vv. 26-39). He was the one who fulfilled "all that was written of Him"(v. 29), was crucified, and raised from the dead in again in fulfillment of the Old Testmanet S riptures. Because of His work"all who believe are justified fromall things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses"v. 39). This is a most startling statement, for Paul was saying that the law of Moses could not justify anyone, but Christ offers complete justification to every believer. Third, Paul wanned his hearers not to ignore what they had just heard (vv. 40-41). One of the underlying thrusts of this message is this: ignorance of the written Word (the Old Testament prophecies concerning Messiah in this case) leads to ignorance of the living Word. It is so today.

The result of this testimony was that some rejected and some zzzeptedz believed, but the whole city heard the Word of God (v. 44). And because of the multitudes who did listen the JEws were jealous and dz spoke against Paul and Bernabas.

So the missionaries turned their attention to the Gentiles and many-were-saved-those who were ordained (set in the rank of) to eternal life believed. But the Jews finally stirred up enough women and leading men to drive Pauland Barnabas to Iconium. But a work of grace had been done and done in Gentile hearts largely, and the disciples were filled with joy and the Hoby Spirit.

B. Iconium, 14:1-5

Unbelief is morally vidous. This was illustrated at Iconium where
the unbelieving Jews stirred up the Gentiles to persecute the apostles, divide

zzz the people and attempt to drive them from the city. The gospel is indeed

a savour of zzzz life to some and of death to others (II Cor. 2:15-66).

When the apostles became aware of the intensity of the intensity of the

planned attack they fled to Derbe and Lystra. Retreat is not always an

unworthy proceedure particularly when the retreat involves further or different
opportunities to witness.

C. Derbe and Lystra, 14:6-20

meetroin the people from doing sacrifice.

At Lystra two important things happened--a miracle and a stoning.

The miracle was the healing of a man lame from birth. So stunning was it that when the people knew about it they news spread like wild fire among the people they thought Paul and Barnabas were gods and they prepared to make a sacrificeunto them. When the apostles realized what was happening they spoke to them in an effort to restrain them. Evidntly the reason Paul and Barnabas did not realize what the people were doing was that the people were speaking in their native tongue, Lycaonia, and Paul and Barnabas simply did not understand/ that particular dialect (even though Paul had the gift of tongues, I Cor. 14:19). The speech Paul made onthat occasion was a very general statement about the goodness of God which distinguished Him form all other gods and particularly from Paul and Barnabas who were simplymen. Even at that the people there were simplymen. Even at that the people there will be statement about the goodness of simplymen. Even at that the people there will be statement about the goodness of God

Antioch and Iconium. More than 100 miles separated thexeitie Antioch from
Lystra, yet they dogged Paul's trail and stirred up the people so much that
they stoned the apostle. Perhaps Barnabas escaped because he was not the leader.
Some feel that Paul was speaking of this experience of stoning in the account
of II Corinthians 12:1-5, while others think that it was on this occadion that
he received the marks spoken of in Galatians 6:17. In any case there is grim
irony in the quick reversal of the people's attitude toward the one whom
shortly before they thought to be a god! Too, we must not miss the miracle
God performed a miracle at Lystra, for Paul got up from thestoning and the
next day was strong enough to leave for Derbe. Some believe that the apostle
actually died and was raised, while others assume that he was not meally dead.
Nevertheless, raised or merely revived it was a miracle that the effects of the
stoning did not prevent him from going on so soon to Derbe.

IV. EVENTS ON THE RETURN, 14:21-28

itinerary of the
The return trip to Antioch in Syria was a retracing of their steps (with
the omission of Cyprus). The work of the return trip was that of confirmition
and ordination. Apparently many of the believers in the various cities were
suffering persecution and Paul exhorted them to stand fast realizing that this such
was normal. Further they depointed elders in these places so that the infant
churches would not be left unorganized! (v. 23-the word translated ordain means
to appoint or designate). Finally they arrived homein Antioch where they
made known the blessing of God particularly in opening the door of salvation
to the Gentiles as He has so abundantly done on this first missionary journey.

15.

THE COUNCIL AT JERUSALEM, 15:1-35

I. THE DISSENSION, 1-6

A' time went on it was knevitable that the problems raised by the presence of Gentiles in the church would come to a head. Peter had learned the lesson of the sheet filled with unclean animals and realized that no man should be called common or unclean -- not even Geniltes. At first the J rusalem church had accepted Cornelius and those converted with him on an equal basis with the Jewish converts and without the necessity of being circumcised. However, as larger numbers of Gentiles came into the blessings of salvation, the numbers of Jewish believers who believed that circumcision was necessary for these Gmentile converts just as it was for Gentile proselytes asserted themselves. Some even came from Jerusalem to Antioch and taught that circumcision and the keeping of the Mosaic law was necessary for salvation. Naturally these folks would not an fellowship with uncircumcised Gentiles even if they were believers in Christ. This aggrevated the matter, but Paul and Barnabas were discerning enough to see thas as not simply a question of fellowship but as a question of fundamental doctrine of salvation by grace verses salvation by works. So they were sent to Jerusalem to try to settle this matter. On the way they declared the conversion of the Gentiles on the first missionary journey toth e churches in the cities through which they passed, and when they finally arrived in Jerusalemthey found the same doctrine being promolgated by some of the believers who had had a Pharisaical background. Any addition to salvation by frace in any day whether it be the addition of circumcision or the keeping of the law or anything else is a problem similar and equally serious as the one which faced the Jerusalem council.

II. THE DISCUSSION, 7-18

Before the public discussion they Paul and Barnabas mehearsed privately to the apostles and elders at Jerusalem the things which God had done(v. 4).

After The public discussion involved much questioning, and statements by Peter,

Paul and Barnabas, and Mames. It was logical that the group should hear Beter first since he was the one used of God to open the door of salvation to the Gentiles (vv. 5-11). He simply rehearsed what God had done; then he reminded them that they as Jews could not bear the yoke of the law; and finally he declared that "we (Jews) believed to be saved (literally) even as they (Gentiles) believe to be saved" (v. 11). In other words, salvation is by faith for both groups.

After this Paul and Barnabas added their tesimonies as to what God had done on their missionary journey in saving Gentiles (v. 12).

1000 ARCH STREE

Finally, James, thehalf-brother of the Lord, the recognized leader of the church at Jerusalem (cf. 12:17; 21:18), fummarized matters. After reviewing Peter's tettimony that God first visited Gentiles through his ministry, he quoted a prophecy from Amos 9:11-12 as confirming this order of events in God's program. The order is: (1) God visits Gentiles; (2) after this Christ will return; (3) the millennial kingdom will be established and in it Gentiles will return to the Lord. In other words God has not abandoned His plans for the kingdom for Israel. He simply will do it after Christ returns, but in the meantime He is calling out from among Gentiles a people for His name.

III. THE DECISION, 19-35

The decision was: "We trouble them not who from among the Gretiles are turned to God"(v. 19). This means that the council affirmed the principle of salvation by grace. To trouble them would have meant to circumcise them. Not to trouble them wo meant that circumcision was unnecessary to salvation. This was the unequicating decision of the council.

Mention was made of the fact that this problem not only affected doctrine but that it also affected fellowship between Jewish and Gentile believers.

The doctrinal decision was clearly that faith alone was necessary for salvation.

However, the council made a further suggestion concerning this matter of fellowship.

It was simply that the Gentile Christians might well abstain from certain things which would be offensive to Christians with a Jewish backgroudd. These were things which were permissible for any believer, but which were repugnant to those with

This decision and suggestion by James was accepted by the others, and they implemented it by writing it in a letters and sending the news to the other churches. Paul, Barnabas, Judas and Silas were delegated to take these letters. Their first stop was Antioch where the news was joyously received and where Silas chose to remain ministering to the church. A potentially dangerous schism in the church had been averted. An important doctinal matter had been clearly settled, and a suggestion had been made so that Jew and Gentile might live dn harmony in the assemblies. Peace had been restored but it was only temporary. Those who taught fiath plus circumcision did not accept the decision, for we know that these Judaisers dogged the steps of Paul and persisted in their campaign tazingnts of forcing the M saic law on Gentile converts.

THE SECOND MISSIONARY JOURNEY, 15:36-18:22

I. THE JOURNEY BEGUN, 15136-40

The time is approximately the year 50. The place is Antioch in Syria.

The scene is one of contention.

- A. The Cause of Contention. Paul and Barnabas felt that they wanted to return to the churches founded on the first journey Barnabas wanted to take John Mark with them again. But Paul evidently felt that his returning home from Perga on the first journey was uncalled for, and he defused to take him.
- B. The Continuation of the Contention. The verbs in verses 37-38 are in the imperfedt tense indicating that the discussion went on at length.
- C. The Character of the Contention. This was no trivial argument but a sharp contention (v. 39). The only other use of thisword contention is in Hebrews 10:24.
- D. The Consequence of the Contention. As a result Paul chose Silas who had been sent with the letters from the Jerusalem council, and Barnabas took John north

 Mark. Paul and Silas went overland, through Syria and into Cilicia while the others went back to Cyprus. Apparently the church sided with Paul in this argument (v. 40), but two sets of missionaries went forth because of it.

II. THE CHURCHES REVISTED, 15:41-16:5

The work of the journey included three things.

- A. Confirming, 15:41. This, of course, was the priginal purpose of the journey. There was no thought of going on to new fields, but simply of revisiting the churches that had been founded on the first journey and confirming the disciples in their faith.
- B. Circumcising, 16:1-3. When Paul and Silas came to Lystra they asked ayoung, reputable disciples to join their party. Timothy was converted through Paul's ministry probably on the first journey (I Tim. 1:2). He waskedaineds had a good reputation and was ordained by the church to preach (I Tim. 4:14; II Tim. 1:6). However, Paul thought it wise to circumcise bem before he went with them. Timothy was of mixed parentage, his mother being a Jewess and his father a Greek.

Therefore, because of his being partly Jewish, Paul thought it best to circumcise him so that he would give no offense to the Jews to whom he might mitness.

In another instance Paul was very insistent that Titus, a Gentile, not be circumcised (Gal. 2:3).

C. Communicating, 16:4-5. As they came to the various churches they reported the decision of the Jerusalem countil that salvation is by faith alone and they delivered the letter containing the suggestion recommendation about living in harmony. As a result the churches were strengthened in the faith (thès is the same work as in 3:7,16) and were increasing in number daily.

III. THE VISION ENLARGED, 16:6-11

After preaching in Phrygia and Galatia, the group tried to go into Asia
Minor but were forbidden by the Spirit. So they turned north to Bithynia but
were again prevented by the Spirit. Thus they awaited further leading from the Lord
in Troas. Asia needed the gospel, but this was not God's time. Need did not
constitue their call. They had just come from the east; they had been forbidden
to go south or north, but they did not presume that the Lord was leading them
to the west--they awaited His specific directions. Logic is not the basis for
a call.

It was at Troas that the Lord gave them that specific leading through the vision of the man of Macedonia. This Greek man represented the flower of civilized and cultured humanity of that day. And yet he lacked the knowledge of the true God, and in his need cried out for help. Immediately the group sought passage across of about 10 miles distant from the Aegean to Neapolis (the seaport nearest Philippi). At this point too Luke rejoined the party (notice the "we" in v. 10).

IV. THE CAMPAIGN AT PHILIPPI, 16:12-40

The ancient name of Philippi was Crenides (from its many springs) until
Philip of Macedon seized it and man called it after himself. It passed to the Romans
with the rest of Macedonia in 168 B.C. and in its vicinity was fought the battle
where Brutus and Cassius were defeated by Antony and Octavian (later the Emperor
Augustus) in 42 B.C. Then it was made a Roman colony which was a piece of Rome

transplanted abroad. The citizens of Philippi and all Roman colonies enjoyed the same privileges as those in Rome itself. They had the same laws, and rights as the Itanlians. Other such colonies in Acts are Antioch in Pisidaa, Lystra, Troas, Ptolemais, and Corinth. Philippi isalso called by Luke"the chief city"——a reference to its first-rate importance in population, prominence, and wealth. It was there that the gospel was first preached in Europe.

A. The Opening of the Work, 12-15

Delay and disappointment characterized the first days of the work at

Philippi. Paul waited until the Sabbath came and then went to the river bank in

order to preache to the Jews of the city. Apparently there were not even the re

10 men required for the formation of a Jewish synagogue, for the handful of Jewsh women

in the city had to meet by the river for prayer. But Paul preached the gospel to

them and the Lord opened the heart of Lydia. But what a disappointment that must

have been! Paul had been called of the Lord to Europe. He had faithfully

preached. The first convert was not only a woman (and men were needed if a church

was to be established) but a woman of Thyatira in Asia. Nevertheless, others

were also converted—Lydia's household, that is, her servants and dependents, and

very likely through Lydia Athers in Thyatira heard the message when she returned home.

B. The Opposition to the Work, 16-24

Paul and the others continued to witness, and the impact of their testimony was being felt throughout the city (vv.17,20). Satan then began to work and chose to oppose the testimony by causing a demon-possessed slave girl to follow Paul around for days crying, "These men are the servants of the most high God which show unto us the way of salvation." Even though she spoke the truth, it is easy to imagine the damage it her cry did to Paul's ministry simply because it would put his true message in the same cateogry in the minds of the people as all her false soothsaying.

Finally, Paul wearied with her, commanded the demon to come out of her.

This immediately cut off the source of livelihood for her masters who very cleverly charged the missionaries with speaking treasonous words (v. 21). The magistrates being very conscious of their exalted position as zizizz leaders of a Roman colony

their feet

thex jailing them, and putting them in stocks. As a Roman citizen Paul was exempt

from beating and ordinary arrest, but he did not make his citizenship known until

with from the next morning. Perhaps he did not have opportunity in the haste of the magistrates.

C. The Outcome of the Work, 25-40

Because they witnessed Paul and Silas now found themselves in jail (obviously Timothy and Luke were not involved in this incident). But God was still working, and at midnight while Paul and Silas were singing praisesto God, an earthquake opened the doors and loosedthe bands of the prisoners. When the keeper of the prison thalized what was happening he, being a Roman soldier and liable for the safety of his prisoners under pain of death, drew his sword to kill himself. Either Paul saw him silhouetted in the light of the door or he spoke under direct divine guidance, but we assured him that no one had attempted to escape (perhaps Paul had restrained the other prisoners or they were too stunned bythe earthquake to that what make an attempt). In any case the keeper under great conviction from the previous testimony of the missionaries and the events of that night asked,"What must I do to be saved?" Paul's classic reply was: "Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ and thou shalt be saved and thy house." The words and thy house" must be understood as connected with believe as well as be saved; that is, his household would be saved if they would believe too. Evidently he andhis house did believe for they witnessed to itin baptism that very night.

The next morning the magistrates sent word to let the prisoners go.

It was then that Paul spoke of his Roman citizenship and demanded a public discharge, by way of an apology for their unlawful acts the preceding day. The magistrates obliged for they realized that they had illegally treated these citizens of Rome.

So they were released, but before departing from the city they went called on Lydia, and the ones who had been through the experience of prison comforted the household of Lydia!

V.THESSALONICA, BEREA, AND ATHENS, 17:1-34

A. Thessalonica, N:1-9.

In Paul's day Thessalonica was an important city. It was named in 315 B.C. of Macedonia for and by the wife of Cassander; it was the captial of the province; and its population was about 200,000; and it was an important commercial center; and it was a free city governed by the people (v. 5).

As was his custom Paul went first to the synagogue and preached that Jesus of Nazareth was the Christ. This he did for three sabbaths with the result that some Jews, many Gentiles, and several chief women believed. The unbelieving Jews tried to assault the apostles but could only get at their host, tasms Jason. However, they dragged him before the rulers and charged him with harboring people who talked treason by speaking of another king, Jesus. So they took bail from Jason, and apparently it had to agree that Paul would not return to the city and become a public nuisance again (cf. I Thess. 2:18).

B. Berea, 10-14

In Berea, 40 miles from Thessalonica, the story was repeated--synagogue, witnessing, faith, opposition. There was one difference. It is said that the Bereans were more noble. This mayhave to do with their class background though it likely means of noble character; that is, free from predudice, impartial. This was displayed in their being willing to search the Scriptures and test the truth of the Paul's message. However, the Thessalonian Jews hounded Paul in Berea with the result that he was forced to leave though Timothy and Silas remained there. They later joined him in Athens (I Thess. 3:1-2).

While Paul waited for Sibas and Timothy he was stirred by the sights which he saw in Athens. Idols were everywhere, and opportunities for testimony were everywhere. He witnessed in the syangogue and in the market place. Shortly his witnesses became known and the Epicureans (who believed that pleasure was the chief end of life) and the Stoics (who were pantheistic and self-sufficient) took Paul to Mar's Hill in order to hear him further. Market Areopagus,

or Mar's Hill was an Athenian court which met in early times on the Hill of Ares west of the Acropolis. It had jurisdiction over moral questions and redigious matters. Paul's message on this occasion was cleverly presented. He began with a kindly ambiguous reference to the religious interest of the people next ("superstituous" in v. 22 may be translated "religious"). He then remarked on the fact that they had a statue to an unknown god. Then he proceeded to tell them who that unknown god is. He is the one who is creator, Lord, not confined in temples, preserver, F ther of all creation, governor of the nations, and the one in whom we live, move, and have our being (vv. 24-28). Therefore, if He created man, in He Himself must be more than a mere man or idol, and He should be listened to when He commands men everywhere to repent. Repentance is imperative too because someday He will judge men by Jesus who was raised from the dead. With the mention of the resurrection, the crowd beganxts became restless, some mocking, and others deferring judgment, and at least two (one a judge of the court of Areopagus and the other a woman) were converted. Even though the message brought results apparently Paul was dissatisfied with the method he had used on this occasion (cf. I Cor. 2: 2 2-5).

VI. CORINTH, 18:1-17

Long a commercial and naval rival of Athens, Corinth stood and the junction of important land routes north and south and sea routes east and west. Warships were known to have been built there as long ago as 664 B.C., and although it was destroyed at the time of the Roman conquestof Greece in 146 B.C., it was in 46 B.C. restored and given status as a colony by Juilus Caesar.in It was also made the capital of the province of Achaia in 27 B.C. Because of its commercial importance Corinth attracted a number of Jews.and riff-raff, so much so that the It was also-knewn-fer-its-d- It also had a reputation for immorality

A. The Missionary,1-11

Paul arrived in Corinth a discouraged man. His missionary activities in Europe had not been well received. Philippi, Thessalonica, Berea,4thens had all been difficult places of ministry. Forced to work at his trade of tentmaking,

Paul came in contact with two dewsz Aquila and Priscilla, Jews who had been forced to leave Rome under the edict of Claudius in A.D. 49. In the midst of this discouraging situation, Paul was encouraged by his friends (v.5a), by the Word (v.5b), and by the Lord (v.10). His friends Silas and Timothy rejoined him and brought him good news of the steadfastness of the Christians in Thessalonica (I Thess. 3:6), and they evidently brought material help as well (cf. 2I Cor. 11:8; Phil. 4:15). This released him from the necessity of making tents and he was able to "devote himself entirely to the Word" (literal) meaning of "pressed in the spirit"). His faithful preaching brought opposition, but the Lord appeared to him in a night vision and assured him that he should stay in Corinth and continue his ministry.

B. The Ministry, 1-11

As has been mentioned Paul's finistry in Corinth was first to those with whom he worked, Aquila and Priscilla. Then as was his custom he preached in the synagogues every Sabbath, but when the Jews rejected his message he turned to the Gentiles. Hewever, Neverthelexs there were outstanding trophies of grace—Crippus, the ruler of the synagogue, and Justins, a Gentile who lived next door to the synagogue.

C. The Magistrate, 12-17

Gallio was from an illustrious famil, y. His father was the elder Seneca; his brother was the Seneca the Stoic and tutor of Nero; and his nephew was Lucan the poet. He became proconsul of Achaia in A.D. 51 and is characterized in extra-Biblical writings as an aimable, witty, lovable person. When the Jews tried to charge Paul with doing things "congrary to the law" Gallio replied that he was not concerned about Jewish law and that Paul had committed no offense against Roman law. The Greeks took advantage of Gallio's refusal to take the Jews' side in the matter and vented their anger at the Jews by beating Sosthenes who had succeeded Crispus as the chief ruler of the synagogue.

Apparently Sosthenes, too, eventually became a Christian (I Cor. 1:1).

After these things Paul remained in the city for some time and took ddvantage of the freedom which Gallio's favorable verdict afforded him.

VII. THE JOURNEY COMPLETED, 18:18-22

Several noteworthy events occurred on the return to Antioch.

First, Paul took Aquila and Priscilla with him as far as Ephesus where they for up Neithra remained. Second, he apparently completed a Nazirite vow and signified it by shaving his head at Cenchrea (the seaport of Corinth). The time and purpose of such a vow was voluntarily determined, though 30 days was the minimum.

Third, Paul didinative could not stay in Ephesus very long since he wanted to get to Jerusalem in time for the feast, i.e., Passover. Finally, he landed at Caesarea, went up to Jerusalem, and then returned to the home base in Antioch.

Thus the second missionry journey was completed.

THE THIRD MISSIONARY JOURNEY, 18:23--21:17

I. EPHESUS, 18:23--19:41

Before describing the ministry of Paul in Ephesus, Luke brings the record up to date by recounting the ministry of Aquila and Priscalla who had remained in Ephesus while Paul returned to Palenstine. The entire work at Ephesus, whether through Aquil a and Priscalla or through Paul, may is an vivid illustration of the power of the Word in the hearts and lives. DZ A. The Power of the Word in Correcting, 18:23--19:7

Ephesus was a city of power. Being the capital of proconsular Asia

It was constantly reminded by the presence of the Roman proconsul of the power

of Rome. Its location near the mouth of the Cayster River on the main trade

route between Rome and the east made it the greatest commercial center in Atia

at that time. It was also a free city with its own Senate and Assembly.

But it was the power of Satan which reigned in the city, for at Ephesus stood

one of the seven wonders of the ancient world, the temple of Diana. It was

a magnificant structure councing with its 127 columns 60 feet high standing on

an area425 feetzhong in length and 220 feet in width. It was the center of

all heathen worship in that area.

Our attention is first drawn to a man named Apollos, An Alexandrian facts

Jew. He had evidently heard some of the story of the ministry of Christ, but had not known the whole story, particularly the coming of the Spirit at Pentecost.

He spoke eloquently in the synagogue but only of the haptism of John the Baptist.

Aquila and Priscilla who had been converted under Paul's ministry in Corinth took him aside one day and expounded the Christian message to him more thoroughly. Then he went to Acheia being recommended by letter to the churches have. We know that for a time he ministered in Corinth (I Corll:12). The Word corrected his lack of information of the full truth of the gospel.

Later Paul came to the city and he discovered 12 disciples of John the Baptist, who, like Apollos, knew only the baptism of John the Baptist.

He inquired of them if they had received the Holy SPirit when (not since) they believed (v.2). When they dhowed ignorance of the Spirit, Paul discovered dthat they had only known the baptism of John which was a pointer to Messiah who was to come and who sent the Spirit. So when they were corrected by the Word as Paul explained it to them (v. 4) they were baptized in the name of the Lord Jesus and received the Spirit. This is the only instance of rebaptism in the New T stament, and it chearly indicates that baptism followed genuine conversion.

Conversion,

B. The Power of th Word in Zerzzzzzzg, 19:8-10

As was his custom Paul went to the synagogue and witnessed for three months to the Jews. In due time the gospel had its having and hardening effects and when some became obstinate Paul separated the disciples and taught them in the lecture hall of Tyrannus. Paul probably worked from daybreak until 11 a.m. when Tyrannus would have been completed his lectures (cf. 20:34) and then he taught his disciples from 11 until 4 p.m. (as one manuscript adds). This continued for 2 years during which time those who were being instructed in turn became evangelists so that all those in the district of Asia had hearsd the Word of the Lord. Not all believed but all were evangelized through the faithful instructed witness of Paul and the converts.

C. The Power of the Word in Conviction, 19:11-20

As and elsewhere God also be Ephesus confirmed the preaching of the Word by signs and miracles. To Paul on this occasion was given the special ability to the held the sick. As a result his reputation of the power of the name of Jesus spread, so that on one occasion seven exorcists from one family tried to use it with disastrous results. They were extempting to cast out a demon from a man and decided to use this new medicine, the name of Jesus. But when they invoked that name the demon asked who they thought they were. Then he came out of the man and tore into the seven exorcists, wounding them and causing them to field from the house naked. This startling display of the misuse of Jesus' name brought fear to the Christians and conviction of their own misdeeds. Ephesus was known for its magic, End apparently the Christians had not yet put away all such evil practices. and scrolls

So they brought their books of magic and served and burned them as an open

repudiation. Then,-after the believers made their relationships with the Lord right-the Word of God grew and prevailed (v. 20).

D. The Power of the Word against Corruption, 19:21-41

and

As Paul was making his plans to return to Greece Palestine and then go on to Rome (the first mention of that city in the book v.21) a riot developed in Ephesus. It was instigated by Demetrius and the others who fashioned small silver shrines which people bought to place in dedication at the temple of Diana. Of course with the conversion of so many people to Christianity, business had fallen off. So Demetrius organized the whole craft and entited a general strike. His argument was not that the silversmiths' business had declined, but that Christianit the Christians were doing damage to the civic ptanding and pride of Ephesus by refusing to worship Diana. This aroused the entire city so that the people ran to the amplitheater and for two hours cried, "Great is Diana of the Ehhesians." They had caught Gaius and Aristarchus, and Paul was about to go himself to try to restrain the crowd but the disciples prevented him. Eventually the townclerk, the executive officer who was an Ephesian and not a Roman, and who was responsible for the good conduct of such meetings, quieted the crowd by reminding them that in reality the Christians had committed no crime/ and that the people themselves would be held accountable by the Romans for such an unlawful assembly. The Word active in changed lives will affect; the soceity in which those lives times touch.

II. GREECE, 20:1-5

After this Paul departed to Macedonia. The purpose of this visit was to coblect the contributions of the churches of Macedonia and Achaia for the relief of the poor saints in Jerusalem (cf. I Cor. 16:2; II Cor 8-9).

He may have spent as long as a year in Macedonia ("those parts" of v.2). Then he went south to Achaia ("Greecez of v. 2 was the popular term for Achaia, the southern part of what we call Greece today). Here There from Corinth the epistle to the Romans was written. He wanted to return to Jerusalem probably for Passover, but he learned of a plot against his life (perhaps to be hatched on board the ship which would take him there) so he returned through Macedonia and sailed from Philippi.

III. ASIA MINOR, 20:6-38

A. PREACHING to the Believers in Troas, 6-12

When Paul came to Troas he met with the believers on the Sunday as they gathered to break bread (probably a meal plus the Lord's Supper). He zant spoke to them and continued his conversation until midnight. This was a rare opportunity for the people of Troas to hear truth from an apostle, but inspite of this, the combination of the lateness of the hour, a long days work (which many of them would have put in before the evening worship service), the crowd, and the atmosphere caused by the burning of the many lamps, all combined to put Eutychus to sleep and he fell out of the third floor window. According to Dr. Luke the fall killed him (v.10), but when Paul lifted him up his life returned (v. 11).

B. Parting with the Elders of Ephesus at Miletus, 7-38

Leaving Troas Paul decided to walk the 20 miles to Assos while Luke and the others went by ship. In due time they came to Miletus about 30 miles from Ephesus, and because Paul was in a hurry to get to Palestine he decided not to go to Ephesus but to send for the elders to come to him at Miletus.

He had already been thrærted in his plans to be in Jerusalem for Passover and he did not want to miss being there for Pentecost. His remarks to the elders were built along three lines of thought.

reminded them

First, Paul spoke of the character of his own ministry (18-27).

Evidently Paul's opponents had been attacking him in his absence, so he defiended his ministry. It had been characterized by humility of mind (xxx191), by frears, and (/ by many temptations (b. 19). It had been consistently performed publicly and privately (from house to house, v. 20). Its contents had been the zimple preaching the kindgom of God (v.25), and the whole counsel of God (v. 27).

Second. Paul gave a charge to the elders (28-31). It was simply to take core of the

Second, Paul gave a charge to the elders (28-31). It was simply to take care of the church over which God had made them overseers. Notice that the same group who are here called overseers or bishops are also called in verse 17 elders, and notice too that in this verwe they are said to feed (the same word as pastor) the flock. It seems as if elder, bishop, and pastor are the same effice:

The phrase "with His own blood" in verse 28 should be translated "with the blood of His own One"; i.e., with the blood of Christ. Third, Paul commended them to God (32-38). It is the word of His grace which is the building and sanctifying agent in any life. Paul concluded with another word of ap defense for the conduct of his own ministry among them. In verse 35 there is a saying of Christ which is nowhere else recorded in the Scriptures. The substance is elsewhere Luke 6:38; 11:9) but this actual form must have also been well known and widely used.

When Paul had finished speaking the elders took their leave with tears and kisses becak se he had warned them that he would probably see them no more.

PALESTINE, 21:1-17

A. Tyre, 1-6

It was hard, too, for Paul to leave his beloved Ephesian elders (the word "gotten from them" literally means "tore ourselves away"). He came in due course to Tyre in Phoenicia (cf. 11:19). Evidently he knew none of the believers there, for he had to seek them out. But while the ship was unloading Paul spent under the leading of the Spirit a week with them. By He was warned by them not to go on to Jerusalem, but he felt he should go in spite of it. So they accompanied him to the ship and said farewell.

B. Caeserea, 7-14

The ship docked briefly at Ptolemais (modern Acre) where Paul apparently left it and travelled overland to Caesarea. There he and his party stayed with Philip the evangelist (8:5) and his seven virgin daughters who had the gift of prophesy.

Agabus soon appeared on the scene having come from Judea (cf. 11:28) and he through the S irit prophesied that the Paul wentrooms would be bound and delivered to the in Jerusalem.

Gentiles, Yet in spite of these warning the apostle felt he must go on.

C. Jersualem, 15-17

After several days the group took up their "carriages" which may mean backed their baggage"or it may bean hired horses". Some of the brethren from Caeserea accompanied them and an early disciple, Mnason, which whom the group stayed in Jerusalem. Perhaps from him Luke received some of the information about the early days of the church in Jerusalem. The finally arrived in Jerusalem and the third missionary journey was completed.

ON TO ROME, 21:18--28:31

I. DEFECTION IN JERUSALEM, 21:18-26

The story now moves rapidly toward the first confinement in Rome where

Luke's chronicle concludes. Back in Jerusalem all not not peaceful. Jewish

believers were accusing Paul of forbidding Gentile believers to indugle in

circumcision (cf. 16:3). The leaders of the church, while rejoicing in the

dhousends of Gentiles who had believed through the ministry of Paul, were nevertheless

desirous of placating the Jewish Christians. The position of the Gentile believers

had been clarified by the letters which the first council had sent (v. 25), but

something had to be done to appure the Jewish Christians that Paul was not

against the Mosaic law.

James, the recognized leader of the Jerusalem church, (apparently it was is safe to assume that none of the apostles were in J rusalem) and the elders had a proposition all worked out which they proposed to Paul. It was simply this: there were four men in Jerusalem whose Now (Nazarite, probably) had come to an end. The rites of purification required before they could shave their heads and signify the end of the we period of the vow involved a number of offerings. James suggested to Paul that he pay for these offerings and durify himself (even though he was not under a vow at that particular time) with these four men. It is known from Josepheus that such any arrangement was not uncommon at that time.

Paul, in his desire to be all things to all men, agreed. Two interesting questions First, arise. Where did Paul acquire the money required for this and for his subsequent defenses and before Roman rulers and his hiring of a house for two years in Rome? Probably

Perhaps his churches contributed to him or perhaps he received some kind of inheritance from his family at this time. Second, was Paul out of the Lord's will in this may have action? God alone knows the full answer to this, though it would seem that he had gone too far in his desire to please men. Certainly he had had sufficient warnings not to go to Jerusalem.

Seven days had to epapse before a Nazirite could be purified. At the very beginning of this period (v. 27 reads literally, "when the seven days were going to be fulfilled") some Asian Jews (who before had given Paul troubže, cf. 20:19) thought they saw Trophimus, a Gentile, in the pestricted part of the temple with Paul. Gentiles could enter the outer Gourt of the Gentiles, but notices in Greek and Latin barred their entrance into the Inner Court. Once the rumor started it spread fast. The crowd seized Paul. They dragged him out, beating him in the process. The guards who patrolled the top of the colonnades of the temple saw the riot developing and sent word to their captain who took Paul, bound him, and demanded to know who he was and the cause of this disturbance. Paul identified himself (though not as a Roman citizen at this point) and obtained permission to address the crowd.

Paul was charged with teaching aginst the people, #the law, and the tample. He defineded himself by showing that he was a Jew to whom the Lord appeared, and that appearance was the valid authority for any changes in his life. It is not unlikely that Luke was in the crowd that heard this defense that day. A. His Condition, 22:1-5. Paul first elaborated his condition as a true Jew (VVII-5). Thès he proved by rehearsing the facts of his birth, his education, his zeal for the traditions of the law, and his persecution of the Christians. B. His Conversion, 22:6-16. He then recited the events of the appearance of the Lord to him on the Damascus road V' Verse 16 should be translated literally as C. His Commission, 22:17- follows: "Having arisen, be baptized; and wash away (avrist pirtuple) your sins, having called on the name of the Lord." In other words, as the arising precedes the baptism, so calling on the name of the Lord preceded forgiveness. C. His Commission, 22:17-21. Paul then cttes a vision which he received of the rismn Lord in the temple in Jerusalem commissioning him to preach to the Gentiles (y') (7-71)
There is no other record of this vision.

The reaction of the mob was to let loose a storm of protest against
Paul because of themention of the word Gentiles. Apparently the captain did
not know Aramaic in which Paul was speaking, so he had Paul removed from the
scene to be examined. As they prepared to scourge him in order to try to
make him confess, Raul appealed to his Roman citizenship which exempted him
from such treatment. The captain then prepared to turn him over to the
sanhedrin for questioning.

III. DIESENSION IN THE SANHEDRIN IN JERUSALEM, 23:1-10

The session before the Sanhedrin was a stromy one. Paul began by asserting a notoriously unscrubulous his conscience was clear before God. Ananias, the high priest appointed in 47 and assassinated in 66, commanded that Paul should be forcibly that silenced.

Paul protested with a sharp retort but to retracted it when he was informed that against it was the high priest whomhad he had spoken. Why Raul did not recognize him is not stated. It may have been weak eyesight or the remark in verse 5 may have been sarcasm. When Paul spoke again he successfully divided the house by stating his own Pharisaical background and his present predicament; being called in question concerning the hope and resurrection of the dead. This enraged both Pharisees and Saducces so much that the captain had to rescue Paul by force lest he would have been killed.

IV. DELIVERANCE FROM CONSPIRACY TO CAESAREA, 23:11-35

That night after the explosive events before the Sanhedrin, the Lord graciously appeared to Paul and assured him that he would witness for Him in Rome (v. 11). The next day more than 40 Jews banded together under an oath not to eat anything until they had killed Paul. They made their plan known to some of the Sanhedrin, and somehow Paul's nephew heart of the plot. He in turn informed Paul in prison who sent him to the chief captain who made plans to thrawt the conspiracy. This he did by sending Paul under heavy escort that very night to Felix the procurator in Caesarea. He also sent along a letter to Felix stating his belief in Paul's innocence as far as Roman law was concerned and also exalting his own part in the entire matter.

(Cf. Tertullus*) opposite report in 24:7!) Paul arrived safely, was assured of by an early hearing, and confined in the palace built £55 Herod the Great.

It is not recorded whether or not the 40 conspirators starved to death!

V. DEFENSE BEFORE FELIX IN CAESAREA, 24:1-27

A. The Charge, 1-9. In five days the accusers arrived. It was an august group headed by the high priest--a most unusual thing--, including elders and a hired moment lawyer, Tertullus. The latter's opening remarks to Felix were filled with flattery calculated to proceed erase any animosity which the Jews and Felix had toward each other. Then he presented the charge against Paul. It was twofold.

The political aspect of it was sedition against Rome as a ringleader of the sect of the Nazarenes, and the religious aspect of it was profanity of the temple.

To this charge the Jews noddedzagzoomentz assented.

B. Paul's defense, 10-21

In his defense Paul categorically denied the political aspect of the charge against him. He reminded Felix that he had been in Jerusalem only 12 days (which is scarcely sufficient time to zz make arrangements to incite a riot against Rome) only and that he had zam gone there to worship. He confessed to the accuracy of part of the religious charge, for he admitted belonging to the way which his enemies called heresy and believing in God, the Scriptures, salvation (hope toward God, and future resurrections. However, he insisted that in the practice of this "heresy" he had been living a life "viod of offense toward God and toward men" (v. 16).

However, Paul emphatically denied that he had started any riot in the temple, for he had been there "neither with multitude nor with tumult." Further, he cited the fazet that the Sanhadrin did not find fault in him.

C. Felix's Decision, 22-27. Felix was evidently acquainted with Christianity

(v. 22) (perhaps from his wife Drustilla), and although he apparently knew that

Paul was innocent of the charges brought against him, he merely adjourned the case

because of the presence of the influencial delegation of Jews from Jerusalem.

The pretense he used was that he wanted to hear Lysias' testimony. However, he

gave orders that Paul was to have as much freedom as possible under the circumstances.

For two years Paul was kept in this semi-confinement. During that time period Felix and Drusilla interviewed him a number of times concerning his faith. Felix's motive was the hope of a bribe (v. 26), but Paul used the opportunities to witness for the Christ. Finally, Festus succeeded Felix, but because Felix was needed to court the favor of the Jews he left Paul bounds imprisoned.

VI. DEFENSE BEFORE FESTUS IN CAESAREA, 25:1-27

A. Festus and Paul, 1-12. When Festus came to Jerssalem the leaders of the Jews brought Paul's case to him, accusing him as before. They further asked Festus to have Paul brought to Jerusalem for trial although they did not tell him that they intended

to kill Paul on the way. Perhaps the same band of men who formerly plotted against his life were involved here. However, God was watching over Paul and He used Festus' refusal to transfer Paul to protest him. Festus did promise the Jews that he would go to Caesarea and see do the matter. When he did they accompanied him and accused Paul again. Paul punkinumdxinz persisted in asserting his innocence of mither both treason against Caesar and of breaking the Jewish law in the temple. Festus did not want to telease Paul because he too wanted to ingratiate himself with the Jews, so he proposed to Paul that he go to Jerusalem to stand trial. At this point Paul reminded Festus that Caesarea was the proper place for the trial (v. 10), that Festus knew he was not guilty (v. 10), and that he would prove to all that he was innocent (xxxxx) by appealing to Caesar (v. 11). Bank When Paul saw that Festus was anxious to make concessions to the Jews he feared that his trial would no longer be conducted impartially; thus, he appealed to Caesar. This right of appeal was an ancient and highly cherished right of Roman citizens, dating back to 509 B.C. It could be invoked after a verdict had been given by a lower official or it could be involed earlier in the proceedings as in this instance. But when it was invoked transferred directly to it mean guaranteed that the investigation would be carried on in Rome and the verdict rendered by the Emperor himself (who at this time was Nero). Paul may have used this right of appeal to Caesar in order to settle once and for all the question of whether or not Christianity was a legitimate religion distinct from Judaism. In any case Festus was quite relieved to be taken off the hook with the Jews. B. Festus and Agrippa, 13-27. Festus was on the spot for he had to make a report of Paul's case and he of course knew realized that he was innocent. His situation was relieved somewhat by the routine visit of King Agrippa and Bernice (thès is the son ofHerod Agrippa I of chapter 12). Festus rehearsed the events which led to Paul's belief in biz Paul 's appeal to Caesar and reaffirmed his innocence as far as Roman law was concerned. Agrippa's interest was aroused and he asked to hear Paul, which, Festus was only to glad to day arrange. The next day Paul was brought to the chamber amid much pomp, and Festus asked Agrippa to examine him in order that he, Festus, might have a definite charge to include in a letter to Caesar. "Perhaps they looked upon him with pity as

of Christ as he saw the poor lost souls bedecked with the miserable tinsel of earth"

(A.C.Gaebelein, The Acts of the Apostles, p. 401).

VII. DEFENSE BEFORE AGRIPPA IN CAESAREA, 26:1-32

Having appealed to Caesar Paul was not actually required to defined himself before Agrippa. Nevertheless he seized upon it as an opportunity to witness to this Jewish king. This is a remarkable speech, for in it Paul shows great tack and courtesy, yet he is pointed and truthful. There are at least four personal appeals to the king himself (vv. 2,13,19,27).

A. His pre-conversion days, 1-11

In this section Paul spoke of his life as a zealous Eharisee. Sincerity, not hypocrisy, was the keynote of his life. Because he was a sincere Pharisee he betrevedzinzinez tried to live a blameless life (vv.4-5), he believed in the promises God made to the Jews (v.6), and he persecuted zealously the heretical sect of Jesus followers (vv. 9-11). All of these things were quite consistent with a sincere Pharisaical life, but they show how sincerely wrong a man can be.

The phrase "gave my vote" in verse 10 may be used officially (showing Paul was allowing that a member of the Sanhedrin and thus married) ar it may be used unofficially (zhowing he was not).

B. His Conversion, 12-18. Paul then proceeded to show that his subsequent change of life could only be explained by what happened on the road to Damascus. It was there that he recognized Jesus of Nazareth as the Messiah and fulfiller of the promises made to the fathers. It was there too that Paul was commissioned go go to the Gentiles "to open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of Satha unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faithy that is in Christ"(v. 18).

C. His post-conversion life, 19-23

Paul's subsequent life was one of obedience to the commission given him at his conversion. Once again he reiterated the gospel to this distinguished audience (vv. 22-23).

D. The Verdicts, 24-32. Festus interrupted to pronounce his own verdict by saying that Paul was made. Paul answered him soberly and reasonably -- in juzt the opposite Smer he way from a madman. Paul then turned to Agrippa probably noticing that the Lord was bringing conviction to his heart and addressed a direct question to the king: "Believest thou the prophets?" If Agrippa saidno, then his reputation for orthodoxy would have vanished. If he said yes, then he would have been in the position of agreeing publicly with Paul' argument. It was one thing to be have an academic interest in what Paul was saying; it was quite another thing to confess Christ publicly. anes Arm So Agrippa zopized passed the situation off by replying, " In short, you are trying to persuade me to be a Christian" (v. 28). Again Paul very solemnly answered with a play on the words "in short": "in short or in much; i.e., with a few or with many words, with ease or with difficulty, I would to God that not only thou but also all that hear me this day were altoghether such as I am except these bonds" (v. 29). With this the King arose signifying the end of the audience. Afterward he declared Paul's innocence (cf. 23:29; 25:25).

VIII. DEPORTATION TO ROME, 27:1--28:16

This chapter is one of the most descriptive pieces of literature in the New TEstament, corresponding to the first chapter of Jonah in the Old Testament.

A sea voyage was not something looked forward to by the ancients. Incommodious probability ships, the passibility of long delays, and the psessibility of shipwreck did not make the anticipation of a boyage a pleasure. Paul himself on this one lift Palentine in August of September and did not arrive until March having lost his ship and his belongings in the meanwhite.

A. Caesarea to Myra, 1-5. Paul was delivered over to Julius, a centurion, for the trip to Rome. Luke again joined him (notice the "we" in v.2). At Sidon Paul was allowed to visit his friends, for as a Roman citizen he was to be treated courteously and considered innocent until judged otherwise by Caesar. They then sailed to the east and north of Cyprus to Myra on the southern coast of Asia Minor where Paul and the others were trans-shipped to the total from Egypt.

B. Myra to Crete, 6-8. At Myra the party found a ship coming from Alexandria and bound for Rome. The embarked and came with difficulty to Fair Havens, a small bay on the southern coast of Crete.

C. Crete to Malta, 9-26. Time was quickly passing and soon the sea would be closed to all shipping. Luke notes that the fast was already past which means that the Day of Atonement.wazzpaztz This means that it was already October and the sea would be entirely closed to all nayigation by November. Even then it was the centurion dangerous to set sail. Paul warned Julius but with a preferred to believe the captain of the ship; so they set sail. They hoped at least to be able to spend the winter in Phenice which was a good harbor on the south coast of Crete. Fair Havens was too exposed to winter in. But as they sailed along the coast a wind caught them and they could do nothing but let the ship run with it. They hauled in the dinghy which was normally towed at the stern (v. 17); they undergirded the ship with cables to strengthen it; and they lowered the mainsail. The next day they lightened the ship, and the day following they jettisoned the ship's gear with their own hands (vv. 18-19). (Later even the cargo was cast overboard, v.38).

At this point Paul spoke up. He reminded them that he had warned them not an agggel from to leave Crete, but he assured them that no life would be lost because God had ass zzizz told him so. He also predicted that they would be cast up on some island. This-happened-on-the-l4th-night-of-the-storm--

D. The Shipwreck, 27-44

 This way all were saved, though the ship was lost, exactly as Paul predicted.

Throughout the entire voyage Paul's presence of mind and ascendency to a place of leadership, though a prisoner, were remarkable. Too, the extdent hand of God and controlling every wind, wave, officer, sailor was evident. All circumstances are under His loving control.

E. Malta, 28:1-11. Although moztzo three months (November, December, and January) were spent on MAlta only two events during that time are recorded. The first occurred immediately on landing. As the proplete ship ship ship ship ship a snake bit him. (The word barbarous in v. 2 does not mean uncivilized but rather non-Greek) and it is used from Luke's viewpoint). Although there are no point onous snakes there now apparently there were in that day, for the Maltese expected Paul to show ill effects. But when he did not, they considered that a miracle had happened. said he was a god.

The second event was the healing of Publius' father. Publius was a chief man in the island, and his father suffered brom a fever and dysentery ("bloody flux" how. Iterally means this). After prayer and the laying on of Paul's hands he was healed. As a result others consulted Paul and box Dr. Luke (note the "us" and "we" in v. 10). Avidently some were healed supernaturally and others through the natural medical means at Luke's command. Those healed quite properly paid their physicians, and after three months the group boarded another ship from Alexandria for Rome.

F. Malta to Rome, 12-16. From Malta they sailed to Syracuse in Sicily, then to Thegium on the toe of Italy, and finally to Puteoli in the Bay of Naples.

Puteoli was the principal port in sourthern Italy and a chief port for discharging grain from Egypt. It is not surprising to read that there were Christians there, with whom and Paul stayed for a week. The brethren in Rome also heard of his arrival and went travelled the 43 miles from Rome to Appli Forum on the Applian Way to meet him.

This was a great encouragement to the apostle. Finally he arrived in Rome itself, and was delivered by Julius to the captain of the gaurd though he was permitted further further to be relatively free.

MIZ IX. DETENTION IN ROME, 28:17-31

Paul/ did not waste any time beginning his ministry in Rome following the usual pattern of to the Jew first and then the Gentile(cf. Rom 9:1-2).10:1).

During his first interview with the Je leaders of the Jewish community in Rome ,Paul simply testified of his innocence. They replied that they had heard nothing of his case but that they would be willing to hear him again concerning zed the Way.

The fact that they had had no world from the Jews in Palestine concerning Paul's case seems to indicate that they Paul's persecutors had decided not to prosecute the case further probably because they realized that Caesar would pronounce Paul not guilty. By not showing up in Rome to prosecute

They would simply let the case go by default, and Roman law would keep Paul there more than

13 months before he could be judged innocent by default. In this way Paul would be kept out of circulation and yet the Jews would not risks sllowing Christianity to be judged a non-treasonous religion. (cf. W.M. Ramsay, The Teaching of Paul in Terms of the Present DAy, pp. 3h6-71).

During the second interview Paul spoke in more detail concerning the

As began to depart

Lord Jesus. Some agreed with him and some did not. After they were-departing Paul

reminded them of the prophecy of Isaiah that their hearts would be hardened as on

hearing the truth. He would then be free to trum to the Gentiles with the message

which he did as much as the relative freedom of confinement in his own hired house

permitted. Paul may have been kept out of dirculation for these two years, but

he was certainly not kept silent.

Stop

Why does the Acts consider at this point? I suppose we would ask that question no matter where Luke had chosen to conclude his story. Although we may not fully comprehend his purpose, whatever it was apparently Luke considered it completed with Paul's arrival in Rome, and thus he felt free to close his record. For, he may have used thistime two years time to complete the writing of Acts and he may have wished to issue it even before Paul's was released. At any rate Luke was satisfied that his purpose had been fulfilled, for he had recorded some of the things that the risen Jesus Critist had continued to do (cf. 17:1), and there is no conclusion from how.

To that story. (For a fuller discussion of Luke's purposes, see the author's

Biblical Theology of the New Testament, pp. 103-107).